

# ANNALES MATHÉMATIQUES



## BLAISE PASCAL

YOUSSEF AKDIM, ELHOSSINE AZROUL, ABDELMOUJIB  
BENKIRANE

### Existence of Solution for Quasilinear Degenerated Elliptic Unilateral Problems

Volume 10, n°1 (2003), p. 1-20.

[http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP\\_2003\\_\\_10\\_1\\_1\\_0](http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2003__10_1_1_0)

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2003, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://ambp.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://ambp.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques  
de l'université Blaise-Pascal, UMR 6620 du CNRS  
Clermont-Ferrand — France*

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

# Existence of Solution for Quasilinear Degenerated Elliptic Unilateral Problems

Youssef Akdim  
Elhoussine Azroul  
Abdelmoujib Benkirane

## Abstract

An existence theorem is proved, for a quasilinear degenerated elliptic inequality involving nonlinear operators of the form  $Au + g(x, u, \nabla u)$ , where  $A$  is a Leray-Lions operator from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  into its dual, while  $g(x, s, \xi)$  is a nonlinear term which has a growth condition with respect to  $\xi$  and no growth with respect to  $s$ , but it satisfies a sign condition on  $s$ , the second term belongs to  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $p$  be a real number such that  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $w = \{w_i(x), 0 \leq i \leq N\}$  be a vector of weight functions on  $\Omega$ , i.e. each  $w_i(x)$  is a measurable *a.e.* strictly positive on  $\Omega$ , satisfying some integrability conditions (see section 2). This paper is concerned with the existence of solution of unilateral degenerate problems associated to a nonlinear operator of the form

$$Au + g(x, u, \nabla u).$$

The principal part  $A$  is a differential operator of second order in divergence form of Leray-Lions type acting from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  into its dual  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$ , i.e.

$$Au = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u, \nabla u)) \tag{1.1}$$

and  $g$  is a nonlinear lower order term having natural growth with respect to  $|\nabla u|$ , with respect to  $|u|$  we do not assume any growth restrictions, but we assume the sign-condition. Bensoussan, Boccardo and Murat have proved in the first part of [3], the existence of a solution for the problem

$$Au + g(x, u, \nabla u) = f,$$

where  $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ . In the second part of [3], the authors have extended the last result to variational inequalities, more precisely, they have proved the existence of at least one solution of the following unilateral problem:

$$\begin{cases} \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(v - u) dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle \\ \text{for all } v \in K_{\psi} \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad u \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ g(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega) \quad g(x, u, \nabla u)u \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where  $K_{\psi} = \{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$ , with  $\psi$  a measurable function on  $\Omega$  such that  $\psi^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . The same result is also proved in [2] where  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

It is our purpose in this paper, to study the variational degenerated inequalities. More precisely, we prove the existence of solution to the problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  (see section 4), in the framework of weighted Sobolev space. We obtain the existence results by proving that the positive part  $u_{\varepsilon}^+$  (resp. negative part  $u_{\varepsilon}^-$ ) of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  strongly converges to  $u^+$  (resp.  $u^-$ ) in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , where  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a solution of the approximate problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})$  (see section 4). Let us point out, that another work in this direction can be found in [6] and [1] in the case of equation.

Note that, this paper can be seen as a generalization of [3] in weighted case and as a continuation of [1] where the case of equation is treated. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains some preliminaries, section 3 is concerned with the basic assumptions and some technical lemmas, in section 4 we state and prove main results.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ), let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $w = \{w_i(x), 0 \leq i \leq N\}$  be a vector of weight functions, i.e. every component  $w_i(x)$  is a measurable function which is strictly positive *a.e.* in  $\Omega$ . Further, we suppose in all our considerations that

$$w_i \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$w_i^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega) \tag{2.2}$$

for any  $0 \leq i \leq N$ .

We define the weighted space  $L^p(\Omega, \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a weight function on  $\Omega$  by,

$$L^p(\Omega, \gamma) = \{u = u(x), u\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}} \in L^p(\Omega)\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{p,\gamma} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now, we denote by  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  the space of all real-valued functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega, w_0)$  such that the derivatives in the sense of distributions fulfil

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\Omega, w_i) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p w_0(x) dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Since we shall deal with the Dirichlet problem, we shall use the space

$$X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad (2.4)$$

defined as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm (2.3). Note that,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  and  $(X, \|\cdot\|_{1,p,w})$  is a reflexive Banach space. We recall that the dual space of weighted Sobolev spaces  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  is equivalent to  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$ , where  $w^* = \{w_i^* = w_i^{1-p'}, \forall i = 0, \dots, N\}$ , where  $p'$  is the conjugate of  $p$  i.e.  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  (for more details we refer to [5]).

*Definition:* Let  $Y$  be a separable reflexive Banach space, the operator  $B$  from  $Y$  to its dual  $Y^*$  is called of the calculus of variations type, if  $B$  is bounded and is of the form,

$$B(u) = B(u, u), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $(u, v) \longrightarrow B(u, v)$  is an operator from  $Y \times Y$  into  $Y^*$  satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \forall u \in Y, v \rightarrow B(u, v) \text{ is bounded hemicontinuous from } Y \text{ into } Y^* \\ \text{and } (B(u, u) - B(u, v), u - v) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

$\forall v \in Y$ ,  $u \rightarrow B(u, v)$  is bounded hemicontinuous from  $Y$  into  $Y^*$ , (2.7)

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } Y \text{ and if } (B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{then, } B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup B(u, v) \text{ weakly in } Y^*, \forall v \in Y, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } Y \text{ and if } B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ weakly in } Y^*, \\ \text{then, } (B(u_n, v), u_n) \rightarrow (\psi, u). \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

*Definition:* Let  $Y$  be a reflexive Banach space, a bounded mapping  $B$  from  $Y$  to  $Y^*$  is called pseudo-monotone if for any sequence  $u_n \in Y$  with  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $Y$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Bu_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ , one has

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Bu_n, u_n - v \rangle \geq \langle Bu, u - v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in Y.$$

### 3 Basic assumption and some technical lemmas

We start by the following assumptions.

**Assumption** ( $H_1$ )

The expression

$$\| |u| \|_X = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

is a norm defined on  $X$  and it's equivalent to the norm (2.3).

And there exist a weight function  $\sigma$  on  $\Omega$  and a parameter  $q$ , such that

$$1 < q < p + p', \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\sigma^{1-q'} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), \quad (3.2)$$

with  $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$  and that the Hardy inequality,

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q \sigma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.3)$$

holds for every  $u \in X$  with a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $u$ , and moreover, the imbedding

$$X \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, \sigma), \quad (3.4)$$

expressed by the inequality (3.3) is compact.

Notice that  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a uniformly convex (and thus reflexive) Banach space.

*Remark:* If we assume that  $w_0(x) \equiv 1$  and in addition the integrability condition:

$$\text{there exists } \nu \in ]\frac{N}{p}, \infty[ \cap ]\frac{1}{p-1}, \infty[ \text{ such that } w_i^{-\nu} \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N,$$

which is stronger than (2.2). Then

$$\|u\|_X = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} w_i(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

is a norm defined on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  and it's equivalent to (2.3), moreover

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega),$$

for all  $1 \leq q < p_1^*$  if  $p\nu < N(\nu + 1)$  and for all  $q \geq 1$  if  $p\nu \geq N(\nu + 1)$ , where  $p_1 = \frac{p\nu}{\nu+1}$  and  $p_1^* = \frac{Np_1}{N-p_1} = \frac{Np\nu}{N(\nu+1)-p\nu}$  is the Sobolev conjugate of  $p_1$  (see [5]). Thus the hypotheses  $(H_1)$  are verified for  $\sigma \equiv 1$  and for all  $1 < q < \min\{p_1^*, p + p'\}$  if  $p\nu < N(\nu + 1)$  and for all  $1 < q < p + p'$  if  $p\nu \geq N(\nu + 1)$ .

Let  $A$  be a nonlinear operator from  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  into its dual  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$  defined by (1.1), i.e.,

$$Au = -\text{div}(a(x, u, \nabla u)),$$

where  $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  is a Carathéodory vector-function satisfying the following assumptions:

**Assumption  $(H_2)$**

$$|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \beta w_i^{\frac{1}{p}}(x) [k(x) + \sigma^{\frac{1}{p'}} |s|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \sum_{j=1}^N w_j^{\frac{1}{p'}}(x) |\xi_j|^{p-1}] \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (3.5)$$

$$[a(x, s, \xi) - a(x, s, \eta)](\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \text{for all } \xi \neq \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (3.6)$$

$$a(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N w_i |\xi_i|^p, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $k(x)$  is a positive function in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are strictly positive constants.

**Assumption** ( $H_3$ )

Let  $g(x, s, \xi)$  be a Carathéodory function satisfying the following assumptions:

$$g(x, s, \xi)s \geq 0 \quad (3.8)$$

$$|g(x, s, \xi)| \leq b(|s|) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N w_i |\xi_i|^p + c(x) \right), \quad (3.9)$$

where  $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous increasing function and  $c(x)$  is a positive function which lies in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

We consider,

$$f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*). \quad (3.10)$$

Now we recall some lemmas introduced in [1] which will be used later.

**Lemma 3.1:** (cf. [1]) *Let  $g \in L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$  and let  $g_n \in L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$ , with  $\|g_n\|_{r,\gamma} \leq c$  ( $1 < r < \infty$ ). If  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then  $g_n \rightarrow g$  weakly in  $L^r(\Omega, \gamma)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a weight function on  $\Omega$ .*

**Lemma 3.2:** (cf. [1]) *Assume that  $(H_1)$  holds. Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be uniformly Lipschitzian, with  $F(0) = 0$ . Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Then  $F(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Moreover, if the set  $D$  of discontinuity points of  $F'$  is finite, then*

$$\frac{\partial(F \circ u)}{\partial x_i} = \begin{cases} F'(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} & \text{a.e. in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \notin D\} \\ 0 & \text{a.e. in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \in D\}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.3:** (cf. [1]) *Assume that  $(H_1)$  holds. Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , and let  $T_k(u)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , be the usual truncation then  $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Moreover, we have*

$$T_k(u) \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

**Lemma 3.4:** (cf. [1]) Assume that  $(H_1)$  holds. Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , then  $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$  and  $u^- = \max(-u, 0)$  lie in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\partial(u^+)}{\partial x_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, & \text{if } u > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial(u^-)}{\partial x_i} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.5:** (cf. [1]) Assume that  $(H_1)$  holds. Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Then,  $u_n^+ \rightharpoonup u^+$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  and  $u_n^- \rightharpoonup u^-$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ .

**Lemma 3.6:** (cf. [1]) Assume that  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  are satisfied, and let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, u_n, \nabla u)] \nabla(u_n - u) \, dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$u_n \longrightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

## 4 Main general result

Let  $\psi$  be a measurable function with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that,

$$\psi^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \quad (4.1)$$

Set

$$K_\psi = \{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Remark that (4.1) implies that  $K_\psi \neq \emptyset$ .

Consider the nonlinear problem with Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(v - u) \, dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle \\ \text{for all } v \in K_\psi \\ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad u \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ g(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega) \quad g(x, u, \nabla u)u \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$



here  $u$  is the solution of the problem  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 4.1:** *Assume that the assumption  $(H_1) - (H_3)$ , (3.10) and (4.1) hold, then, there exists at least one solution of  $(\mathcal{P})$ .*

*Remarks:*

- 1) The statement of Theorem 4.1, generalizes in weighted case the analogous one in [3].
- 2) If we take  $\psi = -\infty$ , we obtain the existence result for the equation case (see [1]).

### Proof of Theorem 4.1

#### Step (1) The approximate problem and a priori estimate.

Let  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  be a sequence of compact subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  increase to  $\Omega$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We consider the sequence of approximate problems:

$$(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon) \begin{cases} \langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle + \int_\Omega g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)(v - u_\varepsilon) dx \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle \\ v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \quad u_\varepsilon \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

$$g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi) = \frac{g(x, s, \xi)}{1 + \varepsilon |g(x, s, \xi)|} \chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(x),$$

and where  $\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon}$  is the characteristic function of  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .

Note that  $g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)$  satisfies the following conditions,

$$g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)s \geq 0, \quad |g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)| \leq |g(x, s, \xi)| \quad \text{and} \quad |g_\varepsilon(x, s, \xi)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

We define the operator  $G_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow X^*$  by,

$$\langle G_\varepsilon u, v \rangle = \int_\Omega g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u)v dx.$$

Thanks to Hölder's inequality we have for all  $u \in X$  and  $v \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u, \nabla u) v \, dx \right| &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u, \nabla u)|^{q'} \sigma^{-\frac{q'}{q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \sigma \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \sigma^{1-q'} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|v\|_{q, \sigma} \\
 &\leq c_{\varepsilon} \|v\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The last inequality is due to (3.2) and (3.4).

**Lemma 4.2:** *The operator  $B_{\varepsilon} = A + G_{\varepsilon}$  from  $X$  into its dual  $X^*$  is pseudo-monotone. Moreover,  $B_{\varepsilon}$  is coercive, in the following sense:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } v_0 \in K_{\psi} \text{ such that} \\ \frac{\langle B_{\varepsilon} v, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ if } \|v\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad v \in K_{\psi}. \end{array} \right.$$

This lemma will be proved below.

In view of lemma 4.2,  $(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})$  has a solution by the classical result (cf. theorem 8.2 chapter 2 [7]).

Let  $v = \psi^+$  as test function in  $(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})$ , we easily deduce that

$\int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon})(u_{\varepsilon} - \psi^+) \geq 0$ , then,  $\langle Au_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon} \rangle \leq \langle f, u_{\varepsilon} - \psi^+ \rangle + \langle Au_{\varepsilon}, \psi^+ \rangle$ ,  
i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \, dx \leq \langle f, u_{\varepsilon} - \psi^+ \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial \psi^+}{\partial x_i} \, dx,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} w_i \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_i} \right|^p \, dx &= \alpha \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^p \leq \|f\|_{X^*} (\|u_{\varepsilon}\| + \|\psi^+\|) + \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon})|^{p'} w_i^{1-p'} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \psi^+}{\partial x_i} \right|^p w_i \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{X^*} (\|u_{\varepsilon}\| + \|\psi^+\|) + \\
 &+ c \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} (k^{p'} + |u_{\varepsilon}|^q \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_j} \right|^p w_j) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|\psi^+\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4) the last inequality becomes

$$\alpha \| \|u_\varepsilon\| \|^p \leq c_1 \| \|u_\varepsilon\| \| + c_2 \| \|u_\varepsilon\| \|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + c_3 \| \|u_\varepsilon\| \|^p + c_4$$

where  $c_i$  are various positive constants. Then thanks to (3.1), we can deduce that  $u_\varepsilon$  remains bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , *i.e.*

$$\| \|u_\varepsilon\| \| \leq \beta_0, \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\beta_0$  is a positive constant.

Extracting a subsequence (still denoted by  $u_\varepsilon$ ) we get

$$u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } X \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Note that  $u \geq \psi$  *a.e.* in  $\Omega$ .

**Step(2) We study the convergence of the positive part of  $u_\varepsilon$ .**

Let  $k > 0$ . Define  $u_k^+ = \min\{u^+, k\}$ .

We shall fix  $k$ , and use the notation,

$$z_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^+ - u_k^+. \tag{4.5}$$

**Assertion(i)** We claim that,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+) - a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_k^+)] \nabla (u_\varepsilon^+ - u_k^+)^+ dx \leq Q_k, \tag{4.6}$$

where  $Q_k \rightarrow 0$ , if  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Indeed,** Consider the test function  $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - z_\varepsilon^+$ . By lemma 3.3 and lemma 3.4, we have  $z_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  and  $z_\varepsilon^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . And since  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\psi^+ \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  we can assume that  $k \geq \psi$  *a.e.* in  $\Omega$ , by the choice of  $k$ , the above test function is admissible for  $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$ . Multiplying  $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$  by  $v_\varepsilon$  we obtain,

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, z_\varepsilon^+ \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) z_\varepsilon^+ dx \leq \langle f, z_\varepsilon^+ \rangle. \tag{4.7}$$

If  $z_\varepsilon^+ > 0$ , we have  $u_\varepsilon > 0$  and from (3.8),  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \geq 0$ , then,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla z_\varepsilon^+ dx \leq \langle f, z_\varepsilon^+ \rangle.$$

Since  $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^+$  in  $\{x \in \Omega / z_\varepsilon^+ > 0\}$ , then,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+) \nabla z_\varepsilon^+ dx \leq \langle f, z_\varepsilon^+ \rangle,$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^+) - a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_k^+)] \nabla (u_{\varepsilon}^+ - u_k^+)^+ dx &\leq \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla (u_{\varepsilon}^+ - u_k^+)^+ dx + \langle f, z_{\varepsilon}^+ \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $z_{\varepsilon}^+ \rightarrow (u^+ - u_k^+)^+ a.e.$  in  $\Omega$ , moreover  $z_{\varepsilon}^+$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , hence, we have,

$$z_{\varepsilon}^+ \rightharpoonup (u^+ - u_k^+)^+ \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w).$$

Since  $a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_k^+) \rightarrow a(x, u, \nabla u_k^+)$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*)$ , we obtain by passing to the limit in  $\varepsilon$  in (4.8) the inequality (4.6) with  $Q_k$  defined by,

$$Q_k = - \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla (u^+ - u_k^+)^+ dx + \langle f, (u^+ - u_k^+)^+ \rangle. \quad (4.9)$$

Because,  $(u^+ - u_k^+)^+ \rightarrow 0$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $Q_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Assertion(ii)** Let us show that,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} -[a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^+) - a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_k^+)] \nabla (u_{\varepsilon}^+ - u_k^+)^- dx \leq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

**Indeed.** We consider for that, the test function  $v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-)$ , where  $\varphi_{\lambda}(s) = se^{\lambda s^2}$ . We have,  $0 \leq z_{\varepsilon}^- \leq k$ , i.e.,  $z_{\varepsilon}^- \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and since  $z_{\varepsilon}^- \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , hence using lemma 3.2 we have  $v_{\varepsilon} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , then clearly,  $v_{\varepsilon}$  is an admissible test function.

Multiplying  $(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon})$  by  $v_{\varepsilon}$  we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \nabla z_{\varepsilon}^- \varphi'_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) dx + \int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) dx \geq \langle f, \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) \rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

Define,

$$E_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega, u_{\varepsilon}^+(x) \leq u_k^+(x)\} \text{ and } F_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega, 0 \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq u_k^+(x)\}.$$

Since  $\varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) = 0$  in  $E_{\varepsilon}^c$ , we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) dx = \int_{E_{\varepsilon}} g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\lambda}(z_{\varepsilon}^-) dx. \quad (4.12)$$

When  $u_\varepsilon \leq 0$ , we have  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \leq 0$  and since  $\varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{E_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx &\leq \int_{F_\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{F_\varepsilon} b(|u_\varepsilon|) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right|^p + c(x) \right] \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx \\
 &\leq b(k) \int_{F_\varepsilon} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right|^p + c(x) \right] \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{F_\varepsilon} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla u_\varepsilon \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx + b(k) \int_{F_\varepsilon} c(x) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx. \quad (4.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of theorem 1.1 in [3], we can show that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+) - a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_k^+)] \nabla (u_\varepsilon^+ - u_k^+)^- dx \leq \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) - a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+)] \nabla u_k^+ \varphi'_\lambda(u_k^+) dx + \langle -f, \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) \rangle \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla z_\varepsilon^- \varphi'_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx + \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+) \nabla u_k^+ \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx + \\
 &+ \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla (u_\varepsilon^+ - u_k^+) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx + b(k) \int_{\Omega} c(x) \varphi_\lambda(z_\varepsilon^-) dx, \quad (4.14)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda = \frac{b(k)^2}{4\alpha^2}$ .

For short notation, we rewrite the above inequality as,

$$I_{\varepsilon k} \leq I_{\varepsilon k}^1 + I_{\varepsilon k}^2 + I_{\varepsilon k}^3 + I_{\varepsilon k}^4 + I_{\varepsilon k}^5. \quad (4.15)$$

Extracting a subsequence such that,

$$\begin{cases} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \gamma_1 \text{ weakly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*) \\ \text{and} \\ a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon^+) \rightharpoonup \gamma_2 \text{ weakly in } \prod_{i=1}^N L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*). \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

**Lemma 4.3:** (cf. [1]) For  $k$  fixed and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we claim that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) I_{\varepsilon k}^1 &\longrightarrow I_k^1 = \int_{\Omega} [\gamma_1 - \gamma_2] \nabla u_k^+ \varphi'_\lambda(u_k^+) dx + \langle -f, \varphi_\lambda((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) \rangle. \\
 2) I_{\varepsilon k}^2 &\longrightarrow I_k^2 = \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla ((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) \varphi'_\lambda((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$3) I_{\varepsilon k}^3 \longrightarrow I_k^3 = \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 \nabla u_k^+ \varphi_{\lambda}((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) dx.$$

$$4) I_{\varepsilon k}^4 \longrightarrow I_k^4 = \frac{b(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, \nabla u_k^+) \nabla(u^+ - u_k^+) \varphi_{\lambda}((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) dx.$$

$$5) I_{\varepsilon k}^5 \longrightarrow I_k^5 = b(k) \int_{\Omega} c(x) \varphi_{\lambda}((u^+ - u_k^+)^-) dx.$$

In view of lemma 4.3 and  $(u^+ - u_k^+)^- = 0$  and  $\varphi_{\lambda}(0) = 0$  we have,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\varepsilon k} \leq I_k^1 + I_k^2 + I_k^3 + I_k^4 + I_k^5 = \int_{\Omega} [\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_2(x)] \nabla u_k^+ \varphi'_{\lambda}(u_k^+) dx.$$

Moreover, if  $u_{\varepsilon} < 0$  we have  $(u_{\varepsilon})_k^+ = 0$ , hence,

$$(a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) - a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^+))(u_{\varepsilon})_k^+ = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

which implies that  $(\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_2(x))u_k^+ = 0$ , and so that,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\varepsilon k} \leq 0,$$

thus, (4.10) follows.

**Assertion(iii)** Let us show that,

$$u_{\varepsilon}^+ \longrightarrow u^+ \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w). \quad (4.17)$$

From (4.6) and (4.10) we have (as in the proof of theorem 1.1 in [3]),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^+) - a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, \nabla u^+)] \nabla(u_{\varepsilon}^+ - u^+) dx &\leq \\ &\leq Q_k + \int_{\Omega} [\gamma_2(x) - a(x, u, \nabla u_k^+)] \nabla(u_k^+ - u^+) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now letting  $k \longrightarrow \infty$  and using lemma 3.6 we obtain (4.17).

**Step(3) We study the convergence of the negative part of  $u_{\varepsilon}$**

Similarly to the preceding step, we shall prove that

$$u_{\varepsilon}^- \longrightarrow u^- \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w). \quad (4.18)$$

**Assertion (j)** Let us show that,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} -[a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, -\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^-) - a(x, u_{\varepsilon}, -\nabla u_k^-)] \nabla(u_{\varepsilon}^- - u_k^-)^+ dx \leq \tilde{Q}_k, \quad (4.19)$$

where  $\tilde{Q}_k \rightarrow 0$ , if  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Indeed.** We define

$$u_k^- = \min\{u^-, k\} \quad \text{and} \quad y_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^- - u_k^-.$$

Consider the test function  $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon + y_\varepsilon^+$  in  $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$ , it is clearly admissible. Multiplying  $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$  by  $v_\varepsilon$ , we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla y_\varepsilon^+ dx + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) y_\varepsilon^+ dx \geq \langle f, y_\varepsilon^+ \rangle.$$

Since  $y_\varepsilon^+ > 0$  implies  $u_\varepsilon < 0$ , then from (3.8), we have  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \leq 0$ , hence  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) y_\varepsilon^+ \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then,

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \nabla y_\varepsilon^+ dx \geq \langle f, y_\varepsilon^+ \rangle.$$

Since  $u_\varepsilon = -u_\varepsilon^-$  on the set  $\{x \in \Omega, y_\varepsilon^+ > 0\}$  we can also write  $\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_\varepsilon^-) \nabla y_\varepsilon^+ dx \geq \langle f, y_\varepsilon^+ \rangle$ , which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_\varepsilon^-) - a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_k^-)] \nabla (u_\varepsilon^- - u_k^-)^+ dx \leq \\ \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_k^-) \nabla (u_\varepsilon^- - u_k^-)^+ dx - \langle f, y_\varepsilon^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have  $y_\varepsilon^+ \rightarrow (u^- - u_k^-)^+ a.e.$  in  $\Omega$ , and since  $y_\varepsilon^+$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ , then  $y_\varepsilon^+ \rightarrow (u^- - u_k^-)^+$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  (for  $k$  fixed).

Passing to the limit in  $\varepsilon$  we obtain (4.19), with  $\tilde{Q}_k$  defined by,

$$\tilde{Q}_k = \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, -\nabla u_k^-) \nabla (u^- - u_k^-)^+ dx - \langle f, (u^- - u_k^-)^+ \rangle.$$

Because  $(u^- - u_k^-)^+ \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that  $\tilde{Q}_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Assertion (jj)** Let us show that,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} [a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_\varepsilon^-) - a(x, u_\varepsilon, -\nabla u_k^-)] \nabla (u_\varepsilon^- - u_k^-)^- dx \leq 0. \quad (4.20)$$

**Indeed.** Considering the following test function  $v_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - \delta_\varepsilon \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-)$  where  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\delta_\varepsilon e^{\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-)^2} \leq 1$  this function is admissible (cf. [3]), then,

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, -\delta_\varepsilon \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) \rangle - \delta_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) dx \geq -\langle f, \delta_\varepsilon \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) \rangle + \int_\Omega g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) dx \leq \langle f, \varphi_\lambda(y_\varepsilon^-) \rangle,$$

with this choice (4.20) follows as in (4.10).

Finally combining (4.19) and (4.20), we deduce as in (4.17) the assertion (4.18).

**Step (4) Convergence of  $u_\varepsilon$ .**

From (4.17) and (4.18) we deduce that for a subsequence

$$u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega \quad (4.21)$$

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow \nabla u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (4.22)$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{cases} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \longrightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \\ g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u)u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.23)$$

On the other hand, multiplying  $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$  by  $u_\varepsilon$  and using (3.7), (3.8), (4.3), (4.4), we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_\Omega g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon dx \leq \tilde{\beta}, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $\tilde{\beta}$  is some positive constant.

For any measurable subset  $E$  of  $\Omega$  and any  $m > 0$  we have,

$$\int_E |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| dx = \int_{E \cap X_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| dx + \int_{E \cap Y_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| dx$$

where

$$\begin{cases} X_m^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : |u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq m\} \\ Y_m^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : |u_\varepsilon(x)| > m\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

From (3.9), (4.24), (4.25) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| dx &\leq \int_{E \cap X_m^\varepsilon} |g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)| dx + \frac{1}{m} \int_\Omega g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon dx \\ &\leq b(m) \int_E \left( \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right|^p + c(x) \right) dx + \tilde{\beta} \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$



Since the sequence  $(\nabla u_\varepsilon)$  strongly converges in  $\prod_{i=1}^N L^p(\Omega, w_i)$ , then (4.26) implies the equi-integrability of  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)$ .

Thanks to (4.23) and Vitali's theorem one easily has

$$g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \longrightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (4.27)$$

Moreover, since  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then by using (4.23), (4.24) and Fatou's lemma we have

$$g(x, u, \nabla u)u \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (4.28)$$

From (4.21) and (4.27) we can pass to the limit in

$$\langle Au_\varepsilon, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon)(v - u_\varepsilon) dx \geq \langle f, v - u_\varepsilon \rangle$$

and we obtain,

$$\begin{cases} \langle Au, v - u \rangle + \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(v - u) dx \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle \\ \text{for any } v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ } v \geq \psi \text{ p.p. in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

### Proof of lemma 4.2

By the proposition 2.6 chapter 2 [7], it is sufficient to show that  $B_\varepsilon$  is of the calculus of variations type. Indeed put,

$$b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u, \nabla v) \nabla \tilde{w} dx$$

$$b_2(u, \tilde{w}) = \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u) \tilde{w} dx.$$

The form  $\tilde{w} \longrightarrow b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) + b_2(u, \tilde{w})$  is continuous in  $X$ . Then,

$$b_1(u, v, \tilde{w}) + b_2(u, \tilde{w}) = b(u, v, \tilde{w}) = \langle B_\varepsilon(u, v), \tilde{w} \rangle, \quad B_\varepsilon(u, v) \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, w^*)$$

and we have

$$B_\varepsilon(u, u) = B_\varepsilon u.$$

Using (3.5) and Hölder's inequality we can show that  $A$  is bounded [4], and thanks to (4.3),  $B_\varepsilon$  is bounded. Then, it is sufficient to check (2.6) – (2.9).

Show that (2.6) and (2.7) are true.

By (3.6) we have,

$$(B_\varepsilon(u, u) - B_\varepsilon(u, v), u - v) = b_1(u, u, u - v) - b_1(u, v, u - v) \geq 0.$$

The operator  $v \rightarrow B_\varepsilon(u, v)$  is bounded hemicontinuous. Indeed, we have

$$a_i(x, u, \nabla(v_1 + \lambda v_2)) \longrightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla v_1) \text{ strongly in } L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.30)$$

On the other hand,  $(g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)))_\lambda$  is bounded in  $L^{q'}(\Omega, \sigma^{1-q'})$  and  $g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)) \rightarrow g_\varepsilon(x, u_1, \nabla u_1)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  hence lemma 3.1 gives

$$g_\varepsilon(x, u_1 + \lambda u_2, \nabla(u_1 + \lambda u_2)) \rightharpoonup g_\varepsilon(x, u_1, \nabla u_1) \text{ weakly in } L^{q'}(\Omega, \sigma^{1-q'}) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.31)$$

Using (4.30) and (4.31), we can write

$$b(u, v_1 + \lambda v_2, \tilde{w}) \longrightarrow b(u, v_1, \tilde{w}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall u, v_i, \tilde{w} \in X.$$

Similarly we can prove (2.7).

Proof of assertion (2.8). Assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $X$  and  $(B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$ . We have:  $(B(u_n, u_n) - B(u_n, u), u_n - u)$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} (a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u)) \nabla(u_n - u) \, dx \rightarrow 0,$$

then, by lemma 3.6 we have,

$$u_n \longrightarrow u \text{ strongly in } X,$$

which gives

$$b(u_n, v, \tilde{w}) \longrightarrow b(u, v, \tilde{w}) \quad \forall \tilde{w} \in X,$$

i.e.,

$$B_\varepsilon(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup B_\varepsilon(u, v) \text{ weakly in } X^*.$$

It remains to prove (2.9). Assume that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } X \quad (4.32)$$

and that

$$B(u_n, v) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ weakly in } X^*. \quad (4.33)$$

Thanks to (3.4), (3.5) and (4.32), we obtain

$$a_i(x, u_n, \nabla v) \rightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla v) \text{ strongly in } L^{p'}(\Omega, w_i^*) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then,

$$b_1(u_n, v, u_n) \longrightarrow b_1(u, v, u). \quad (4.34)$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |b_2(u_n, u_n - u)| &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |g_{\varepsilon}(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)|^{q'} \sigma^{\frac{-q'}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^q \sigma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \sigma^{\frac{-q'}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \|u_n - u\|_{L^q(\Omega, \sigma)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$b_2(u_n, u_n - u) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.35)$$

but in view of (4.33) and (4.34), we obtain

$$b_2(u_n, u) = (B_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v), u) - b_1(u_n, v, u) \longrightarrow (\psi, u) - b_1(u, v, u)$$

and from (4.35) we have,

$$b_2(u_n, u_n) \longrightarrow (\psi, u) - b_1(u, v, u).$$

Then,

$$(B_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v), u_n) = b_1(u_n, v, u_n) + b_2(u_n, u_n) \longrightarrow (\psi, u).$$

Now, let us show that  $B_{\varepsilon}$  is coercive. Let  $v_0 \in K_{\psi}$ .

From Hölder's inequality, the growth condition (3.5) and the compact imbedding (3.4) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Av, v_0 \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, v, \nabla v) \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, v, \nabla v)|^{p'} w_i^{\frac{-p'}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_i}|^p w_i dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c_1 \|v_0\| \left( \int_{\Omega} k(x)^{p'} + |v|^q \sigma + \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right|^p w_j dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq c_2 (c_3 + \|v\|^{\frac{q}{p'}} + \|v\|^{p-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i$  are various constants,  
thanks to (3.7) we obtain,

$$\frac{\langle Av, v \rangle}{\|v\|} - \frac{\langle Av, v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \geq \alpha \|v\|^{p-1} - \|v\|^{p-2} - \|v\|^{\frac{q}{p}-1} - \frac{c}{\|v\|}.$$

In view of (3.1) we have  $p - 1 > \frac{q}{p} - 1$ . Then,

$$\frac{\langle Av, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|v\| \longrightarrow \infty,$$

since  $\langle G_\varepsilon v, v \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle G_\varepsilon v, v_0 \rangle$  is bounded we have

$$\frac{\langle B_\varepsilon v, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \geq \frac{\langle Av, v - v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} - \frac{\langle G_\varepsilon v, v_0 \rangle}{\|v\|} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|v\| \longrightarrow \infty.$$

*Remark:* The assumption (3.1) appears necessary, in order to prove the boundedness of  $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$  and the coercivity of the operator  $B_\varepsilon$ . While the assumption (3.2) is necessary to prove the boundedness of  $G_\varepsilon$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, w)$ . Thus, when  $g \equiv 0$ , we don't need to suppose (3.2).

## References

- [1] Y. Akdim, E. Azroul, and A. Benkirane. Existence of solutions for quasilinear degenerated elliptic equations. *Electronic J. Diff. Eqns.*, 2001(71):1–19, 2001.
- [2] A. Benkirane and A. Elmahi. Strongly nonlinear elliptic unilateral problem having natural growth terms and  $L^1$  data. *Rendiconti di Matematica*, 18:289–303, 1998.
- [3] A. Bensoussan, L. Boccardo, and F. Murat. On a non linear partial differential equation having natural growth terms and unbounded solution. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 5(4):347–364, 1988.
- [4] P. Drabek, A. Kufner, and V. Mustonen. Pseudo-monotonicity and degenerated or singular elliptic operators. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 58:213–221, 1998.

Y. AKDIM, E. AZROUL, A. BENKIRANE

- [5] P. Drabek, A. Kufner, and F. Nicolosi. *Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities*. De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, New York, 1997.
- [6] P. Drabek and F. Nicolosi. Existence of bounded solutions for some degenerated quasilinear elliptic equations. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata*, CLXV:217–238, 1993.
- [7] J.L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod, Paris, 1969.

YOUSSEF AKDIM  
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES  
DHAR-MAHRAZ  
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
ET INFORMATIQUE  
B.P 1796 ATLAS FÈS.  
FÈS  
MAROC  
y-akdim@caramail.com

ELHOSSINE AZROUL  
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES  
DHAR-MAHRAZ  
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
ET INFORMATIQUE  
B.P 1796 ATLAS FÈS.  
FÈS  
MAROC  
elazroul@caramail.com

ABDELMOUJIB BENKIRANE  
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DHAR-MAHRAZ  
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
ET INFORMATIQUE  
B.P 1796 ATLAS FÈS.  
FÈS  
MAROC  
abdelmoujib@iam.net.ma