

ANNALES MATHÉMATIQUES



BLAISE PASCAL

CUI MINGGEN, ZHANG YANYING

The Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p -adic numbers field

Volume 12, n°1 (2005), p. 181-193.

<http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2005__12_1_181_0>

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2005, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://ambp.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://ambp.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques
de l'université Blaise-Pascal, UMR 6620 du CNRS
Clermont-Ferrand — France*

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

The Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p -adic numbers field

Cui Minggen
Zhang Yanying

Abstract

In this paper, two important geometric concepts— graphical center and width, are introduced in p -adic numbers field. Based on the concept of width, we give the Heisenberg uncertainty relation on harmonic analysis in p -adic numbers field, that is the relationship between the width of a complex-valued function and the width of its Fourier transform on p -adic numbers field.

1 Introduction

In reference [1], wavelet transform is introduced to the field of p -adic numbers. In references [2] and [5], some theory of wavelet analysis and affine frame on harmonic analysis are introduced to the field of p -adic numbers respectively on the basis of a mapping $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ (field of p -adic numbers)

In this paper, based on the same mapping \mathbf{P} we will give the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p -adic numbers field as

$$\Delta_f \Delta_{\hat{f}} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi^2}$$

where $\Delta_f, \Delta_{\hat{f}}$ are the widths of function f and its transform \hat{f} respectively.

The field of the p -adic numbers is defined as the completion of field \mathbf{Q} of rationals with respect to the p -adic metric induced by the p -adic norm $|\cdot|_p$ (see [6]). A p -adic numbers $x_p \neq 0$ is uniquely represented in the canonical form

$$x_p = p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k, |x_p|_p = p^r, \tag{1.1}$$

where $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $0 \leq x_k \leq p - 1, x_0 \neq 0$, For $x_p, y_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, we define $x_p < y_p$ either when $|x_p|_p < |y_p|_p$ or when $|x_p|_p = |y_p|_p$, and there exist

an integer j such that $x_0 = y_0, \dots, x_{j-1} = y_{j-1}, x_j < y_j$ from the viewpoint of (1.1). By interval $[a_p, b_p]$, we mean the set defined by $\{x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p | a_p \leq x_p \leq b_p\}$.

The mapping $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p$ are introduced in the references [5] and [2] as

$$\mathbf{P}(0) = 0; \mathbf{P} \left(p^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^{-k} \right) = p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k \in \mathbf{Q}_p. \quad (1.2)$$

It is known that if $x_R = p^r \sum_{k=0}^n x_k p^{-k} \in \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}, x_0 \neq 0$ and $0 \leq x_k \leq p-1$, then it has another expression

$$x_R = p^r \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x_k p^{-k} + (x_n - 1)p^{-n} + (p-1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p^{-k}. \quad (1.3)$$

that we won't use it in this paper. Let \mathbf{M}_R be the set of numbers that is expressed by formula (1.3) and $\mathbf{M}_p = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{M}_R)$. Let $B_r(a_p) = \{x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid |x_p - a_p|_p \leq p^r, r \in \mathbf{Z}\}, S_r(a_p) = \{x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid |x_p - a_p|_p = p^r, r \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. According to (1.2), we reach a conclusion that for an interval $[a_R, b_R]$ in $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and its corresponding interval $[a_p, b_p]$ in \mathbf{Q}_p

$$\mathbf{P}\{B_r(a_p)\} = [0, p^{r+1}), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_r(a_p)\} = [p^r, p^{r+1}), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{[a_p, b_p]\} = [a_R, b_R), \quad (1.6)$$

$$|a_R - b_R| \leq p |a_p - b_p|_p, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\mathbf{P}(a_R) = a_p, \mathbf{P}(b_R) = b_p$ (see [3]). Let f be a complex-valued function on \mathbf{Q}_p , for $x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$, let

$$f(x_p) = f(\mathbf{P} \circ \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p)) = (f \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_R(x_R), (f_R = f \circ \mathbf{P}), x_R = \mathbf{P}^{-1}x_p. \quad (1.8)$$

From (1.7), we know that the inverse mapping \mathbf{P}^{-1} is continuous on $\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$

2 A Haar measure on \mathbf{Q} and integration

In this section, a Haar measure is constructed by using the mapping \mathbf{P} of $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ into $\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$ and the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$. The symbol

THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY RELATION

Σ is the set of all compact subsets of \mathbf{Q}_p , and S is the σ -ring generated by Σ .

Definition 2.1: Let $E \in S$, and put $\mathbf{E}_p = \mathbf{E} \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$, and $\mathbf{E}_R = \mathbf{P}^{-1}(\mathbf{E}_p)$. If \mathbf{E}_R is a measurable set on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, then we call \mathbf{E} a measurable set on \mathbf{Q}_p , and define a set function $\mu_p(\mathbf{E})$ on \mathbf{S} :

$$\mu_p(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{1}{p} \mu(\mathbf{E}_R) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mu(\mathbf{E}_R)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{E}_R . This $\mu_p(\mathbf{E})$ is called the measure on \mathbf{E} .

By the Definition 2.1, some examples can be given immediately:

- (1) Let $a_p, b_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, then $\mu_p\{[a_p, b_p]\} = (b_R - a_R)/p$ (see (1.7))
- (2) $\mu_p\{B_r(0)\} = p^r$ (see (1.4))
- (3) $\mu_p\{S_r(0)\} = p^r(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ (see (1.5))
- (4) Let $\{B_{r_i}(a_i)\}_i$ be disjoint discs covering \mathbf{E} , by the definition of measure μ_p and definition of Lebesgue exterior measure on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, it is evident that

$$\mu_p(\mathbf{E}) = \inf_{r_i \in Z} \mu_p\{\cup_i B_{r_i}(a_i)\} \tag{2.2}$$

- (5) $\mu_p(\mathbf{M}_p) = 0$.

It is obvious that μ_p , by Definition 2.1, is countably additive. In order to prove that μ_p is a Haar measure, we will give the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2: If $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, then

$$\mu_p\{B_r(\alpha)\} = \mu_p\{B_r(0)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF: 1° Let $\alpha = p^{-r_1}$, for $r_1 > r, r_1, r \in Z$, and put $x = p^{-r_1} + p^{-r} \sum_{0 \leq x_k < \infty} x_k p^k, x_0 \neq 0, 0 \leq x_k < p$. Then \mathbf{E} is the set of all these p -adic numbers when x_k change for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$. We write $\mathbf{E}_p = \{x_p | x_p \in \mathbf{E} \setminus \mathbf{M}_p\}$. For $x_p \in \mathbf{E}_p$, let

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) = p^{r_1} + p^r \sum_{0 \leq K < \infty} x_k p^{-k} \tag{2.4}$$

then $\mathbf{M}_R = [p^{r_1}, p^{r_1} + p^{r+1})$ is the set of all real numbers as presented in (2.4) (see(1.4)). Hence

$$\mu_p(\alpha + B_r(0)) = \mu_p(B_r(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{p} \mu(\mathbf{E}_R) = p^r = \mu_p(B_r(0)) \tag{2.5}$$

2° Let $\alpha = p^{-r_2}$, for $r_2 \leq r, r_2, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. then $\alpha + B_r(0) = B_r(\alpha) = B_r(0)$, by $\alpha \in B_r(0)$. So that

$$\mu_p(B_r(\alpha)) = \mu_p(B_r(0)) \quad (2.6)$$

3° Let $\alpha = p^{-r_3} \sum_{0 \leq k < \infty} \alpha_k p^k$, and put $\alpha^n = p^{-r_3} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \alpha_k p^k$, applying to the result of 1° and 2° repeatedly in this case, we have

$$\mu_p(\alpha^n + B_r(0)) = \mu_p(B_r(\alpha^n)) = \mu_p(B_r(0)) \quad (2.7)$$

However

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_p(B_r(\alpha^n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \mu\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}(B_{rp}(\alpha^n))\} \quad (2.8)$$

where $B_{rp}(\alpha^n) = B_r(\alpha^n) \setminus M_p$. By the continuity of the mapping \mathbf{P}^{-1} (see(1.7)), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \mu\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}(B_{rp}(\alpha^n))\} = \frac{1}{p} \mu\{\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mu\{\mathbf{P}^{-1}(B_{rp}(\alpha))\}\} = \mu_p\{B_r(\alpha)\} \quad (2.9)$$

The part 3° follows from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9). □

Theorem 2.3: (The translation invariance of the measure μ_p) Let $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{S}$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, then

$$\mu_p(\alpha + \mathbf{E}) = \mu_p(\mathbf{E}) \quad (2.10)$$

PROOF: Let $\{B_{r_i}(a_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be disjoint discs covering \mathbf{E} , then $\{B_{r_i}(a_i + \alpha)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint discs covering $\alpha + E$. By the formula (2.2) in the example 4, we have

$$\mu_p(\alpha + E) = \inf_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_p\{\cup\{\alpha + B_{r_i}(a_i)\}\} \quad (2.11)$$

Applying the lemma 2.2 to the right side of the above formula, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_p\{\cup_i B_{r_i}(\alpha + a_i)\} \\ &= \inf_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i \mu_p\{B_{r_i}(\alpha + a_i)\} \\ &= \inf_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i \mu_p\{B_{r_i}(a_i)\} \\ &= \inf_{r_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_p\{\cup_i B_{r_i}(a_i)\} \\ &= \mu_p(E) \end{aligned}$$

THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY RELATION

Therefore, μ_p is a Haar measure. □

According to the above definition of Haar measure, we can define the integration over measurable sets \mathbf{E} in \mathbf{Q}_p (firstly define the integration of simple functions, then regard the limit of integration of simple functions as the definition of the integration of general functions (see [4]))

$$\int_E f(x_p) d\mu_p \tag{2.12}$$

By the theorem 2.3, the definition of measure and (1.8), we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.4: *Suppose $f(x_p)$ is a complex-valued function on \mathbf{Q}_p , then $f(x_p)$ is integrable over the interval $[a_p, b_p]$ ($a_p, b_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p$), if and only if the real function $f_R(x_R)$ defined on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ is integrable over the interval $[a_R, b_R]$, and*

$$\int_{[a_p, b_p]} f(x_p) d\mu(x_p) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{a_R}^{b_R} f(x_R) d\mu(x_R) \tag{2.13}$$

where $f_R(x_R)$ is defined by (1.8), and $\mathbf{P}(x_R) = x_p, \mathbf{P}(a_R) = a_p, \mathbf{P}(b_R) = b_p, a_p, b_p \in \mathbf{M}_p$

Corollary 2.5: *If $f(x_p)$ is a bounded continuous function on the interval $[a_p, b_p] \subset \mathbf{Q}_p$, then $f(x_p)$ is integrable over $[a_p, b_p]$, where $[a_p, b_p]$ can be \mathbf{Q}_p .*

Notice that under the condition of theorem $f_R(x_R)$ is a bounded piecewise continuous function on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ by (1.4), By the theorem 2.4, $f(x_p)$ is integrable.

3 The indefinite integral and derivative of complex-valued function in \mathbf{Q}_p

Definition 3.1: Let f be a complex-valued function defined in \mathbf{Q}_p and for $\forall x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, f is integrable on interval $[a_p, b_p]$, then

$$f(x_p) = \int_0^{x_p} g dx_p \tag{3.1}$$

is called on indefinite integral of g .

Definition 3.2: Let f be a complex-valued function defined in \mathbf{Q}_p , if there exist an integrable complex-valued function g such that

$$f(x_p) = \int_0^{x_p} g dx_p, \quad x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \quad (3.2)$$

then $g(x_p)$ is called the derivative of f , which we will denote as $f'(x_p)$.

In formula (3.2), let $f = 1$ then

$$\mu([0, x_p]) = \int_0^{x_p} d\mu \quad (3.3)$$

The equation (3.3) follows that

$$\bar{\mu}'(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu'([0, x_p]) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

Theorem 3.3: For complex-valued functions f, h on \mathbf{Q}_p , if $(f)_R(x_R)$ and $(h)_R(x_R)$ are absolutely continuous, then

$$\begin{aligned} f'_R(x_R) &= (f')_R(x_R) \\ (f(x_p)h(x_p))' &= f'(x_p)h(x_p) + f(x_p)h'(x_p) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

PROOF: Let $f' = g$ and $g(x_p) = g(\mathbf{P}(x_R)) = (g \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R) = g_R(x_R)$. By definition (3.2) and theorem 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_p) &= \int_0^{x_p} g(x_p) dx_p \\ &= \int_0^{x_R} g_R(x_R) dx_R \\ &= f_R(x_R) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(f_R)'(x_R) = g_R(x_R) = g(x_p) = f'(x_p) = (f')_R(x_R) \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x_p)h(x_p))' &= (f_R(x_R)h_R(x_R))' \\ &= (f_R)'(x_R)h_R(x_R) + f_R(x_R)(h_R)'(x_R) \\ &= (f')_R(x_R)h_R(x_R) + f_R(x_R)(h')_R(x_R) \\ &= f'(x_p)h(x_p) + f(x_p)h'(x_p) \end{aligned}$$

□

From (3.6) it follows that

Corollary 3.4: *If a complex-valued function $h(x_R)$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, then $f(x_p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (h\mathbf{P}^{-1})(x_p)$ is derivable on $\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$.*

Corollary 3.5: *A locally constant function is derivable on $\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$, and its derivative is equal to 0.*

Similarly, we can prove

Theorem 3.6: *If f is derivable on $[a_p, b_p]$, then*

$$\int_{a_p}^{b_p} f'(x_p) d\mu = f(b_p) - f(a_p) \tag{3.7}$$

4 Center and width of the graph of f

In this section, we will introduce the concepts of center and width of complex-valued function graph in the field of p -adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p .

Definition 4.1: Let f be a complex-valued function of p -adic variable. We define the center t_f of the graph $\{(x_p, f(x_p)) | x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p\}$ by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t_f^{(R)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ t_f &= \mathbf{P}(t_f^{(R)}) \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.1}$$

if the integral (4.1) exists.

Definition 4.2: For a complex-valued function of p -adic variable, we define the width of f by

$$\Delta_f = \left(\int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |x_p - t_f|^2 |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \tag{4.2}$$

if the integral (4.2) exists.

Theorem 4.3: Let $\mathbf{P}(t_f^{(R)} - a_R) = \mathbf{P}(t_f^{(R)}) - \mathbf{P}(a_R)$, $a_R = \mathbf{P}^{-1}(a)$, $a \in \mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$.

- (1) If f is increasing, then $t_{T_{af}} = t_f - a$
- (2) Suppose $\text{supp} f \subset B_r(0)$. For $a = p^{-\beta}$, if $\beta > r$, then $t_{T_{af}} = t_f - a$
- (3) For $a = p^{-\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$t_{S_{af}} = at_f,$$

where $T_{af}(x_p) = f(x_p + a)$, $S_{af}(x_p) = f(\frac{x_p}{a})$.

PROOF: (1) Under the condition of (1) in this theorem, using

$$\mathbf{P}(x_R + a_R) \geq \mathbf{P}(x_R) + \mathbf{P}(a_R)$$

we have

$$(f \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R + a_R) \geq f(x + a)$$

where $x_R = \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p)$, $x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t_{T_{af}}^{(R)} &= \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) |T_{af}(x_p)|^2 dx_p / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |T_{af}(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) |f(x + a)|^2 dx_p / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &\leq \int_{R^+} x_R |(f \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R + a_R)|^2 dx_R / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &= \int_{R^+} (x_R - a_R) |(f \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R)|^2 dx_R / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &= -a_R + \int_{R^+} x_R |(f \circ \mathbf{P})(x_R)|^2 dx_R / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &= -a_R + \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p / \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\ &= -a_R + t_f^{(R)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we used $\mu(\mathbf{M}_p) = 0$, and for $x_p, a \in B_r(0) \cap (\mathbf{Q}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p)$, $x_p + a \in B_r(0)$. On the other hand, using inequation $\mathbf{P}^{-1}(x - a) \geq \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x) - \mathbf{P}^{-1}(a)$, we can easily obtain

$$t_{T_{af}}^{(R)} \geq t_f^{(R)} - a_R \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$t_{T_{af}}^{(R)} = t_f^{(R)} - a_R.$$

THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY RELATION

Finally, from the condition of (1) in theorem, we have

$$t_{T_{af}} = \mathbf{P}(t_f^{(R)} - a_R) = \mathbf{P}(t_f^{(R)}) - \mathbf{P}(a_R) = t_f - a$$

Conclusion of (1) in theorem is proved. (2) and (3) can be proved similarly. □

Theorem 4.4: (1) If $f(x)$ and a, t_f satisfy the condition of theorem 3.3, then

$$\Delta_{T_{af}} = \Delta_f$$

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{S_{af}} = |a|_p \Delta_f$$

PROOF: For (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{T_{af}} &= \left(\int_{Q_p} |x_p - t_{T_{af}}|_p^2 |T_{af}|^2(x_p) dx_p / \int_{Q_p} |T_{af}|^2(x_p) dx_p \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{Q_p} |x_p - (t_f - a)|_p^2 |f(x_p + a)|^2 dx_p / \int_{Q_p} |f(x_p + a)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{Q_p} |t_p - t_f|_p^2 |f(t_p)|^2 dt_p / \int_{Q_p} |f(t_p)|^2 dt_p \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \Delta_f \end{aligned}$$

(2) can be proved similarly. □

After doing the preparation of section 1-4, we will give a theorem on harmonic analysis which is about the relation of the width of complex function in \mathbf{Q}_p and the width of its Fourier transform. This theorem is similar to the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in quantum mechanics.

5 Main theorem

Lemma 5.1: Let $x_p \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, then $\mu([0, x_p]) \leq |x_p|_p$

PROOF: For $x_p \in \mathbf{P}_p \setminus \mathbf{M}_p$

$$x_p = p^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^k \in \mathbf{Q}_p, \quad x_0 \neq 0, 0 \leq x_k \leq p-1$$

and therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) = p^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k p^{-k} \leq p^{r-1} (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{-k} = |x_p|_p \quad (5.1)$$

By definition of measure μ_p , we have

$$\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{P}^{-1}(x_p) = \mu([0, x_p])$$

which leads to

$$\bar{\mu}(x_p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu([0, x_p]) \leq |x_p|_p / p \quad (5.2)$$

□

Theorem 5.2: *Let f be complex-valued function of p -adic variable. If $f \in L^2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, $f' \in L^2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ and*

$$\lim_{|b_p|_p \rightarrow \infty} |b_p|_p |f(b_p)|^2 = 0, \quad f(0) = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

then the following inequality is valid:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \leq \Delta_f \Delta_{\hat{f}} \quad (5.4)$$

where \hat{f} is the transform of f ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi_p) = \int_{\mathbf{Q}_p} f(x_p) \exp(2\pi i \{\xi_p x_p\}) dx_p$$

and by means of representation (1.1), $\{x_p\}$ is defined as

$$\{x_p\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r(x_p) \geq 0 \text{ or } x_p = 0 \\ p^r(x_0 + x_1 p + \cdots + x_{|r|-1} p^{|r|-1}) & \text{if } r(x_p) < 0 \end{cases}$$

Inequality (5.4) is called the Heisenberg uncertainty relation in harmonic analysis on p -adic numbers field.

THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY RELATION

PROOF: By using (3.4) and theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)|f(x_p)|^2)' &= \left(\bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)\overline{f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)} \right)' \\
 &= |f(x_p)|^2 + \bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f) \left(f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p) \right)' \overline{f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)} \\
 &\quad + \bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)\overline{[f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)]'} \quad (5.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{b_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx &= \bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)|f(x_p)|^2 \Big|_0^{b_p} \\
 &- \int_0^{b_p} \bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)\overline{f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)} \left(f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p) \right)' dx_p \\
 &- \int_0^{b_p} \bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f)f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)\overline{[f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)]'} dx_p \quad (5.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the function $\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p) = \exp(2\pi i\{t_{\hat{f}}x_p\})$

By taking the limit of (5.5) as $|b|_p \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5.2),(5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{Q_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \\
 &\leq 2 \left(\int_{Q_p} (\bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f))^2 |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_p} |[f(x_p)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}x_p)]'|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= \left(\int_{Q_p} (\bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f))^2 |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_p} |[f(\cdot)\chi_p(t_{\hat{f}}\cdot)]'(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= 2 \left(\int_{Q_p} (\bar{\mu}(x_p - t_f))^2 |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_p} 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 |\hat{f}(\xi + t_{\hat{f}})|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \quad (5.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Hölder inequality for the integral and $f'(\cdot)^\wedge(\xi) = -2\pi i\xi\hat{f}(\xi)$, $(f, f)_{L^2(Q_p)} = (\hat{f}, \hat{f})_{L^2(Q_p)}$, $(f(\cdot)\chi_p(a\cdot))^\wedge(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi + a)$ From (5.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \leq \left(\int_{Q_p} |x_p - t_f|_p^2 |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p / \int_{Q_p} |f(x_p)|^2 dx_p \right)^{1/2}.$$

$$\left(\int_{Q_p} |\xi_p - t_{\hat{f}}|_p^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi_p)|^2 d\xi_p / \int_{Q_p} |\widehat{f}(\xi_p)|^2 d\xi_p \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \Delta_f \Delta_{\widehat{f}} \tag{5.8}$$

Hence we have completed our proof. □

References

- [1] M. G. Cui. On the wavelet transform in the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers. *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Analysis*, 13:162–168, 2002.
- [2] M. G. Cui, H.M.Yao, and H.P.Liu. The affine frame in p -adic analysis. *Annales Mathematiques Blaise Pascal*, 10:297–303, 2003.
- [3] G.H.Gao and M.G.Cui. Calculus on the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers. *J. of Natural Science of Heilongjiang University*, 3:15–16, 2003.
- [4] Paul R. Halmos. *Measure Theory*. Beijing Scientific Publishing House(Chinese Translation), Beijing, 1965.
- [5] S. V. Kozyrev. Wavelet theory as p -adic apectral analysis, *izv. russ. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Math.*, 66:149–158(Russian), 2002.
- [6] V.S.Vladimirov, I.V.Volovich, and E.I.Zelenov. p -adic analysis and mathematical physics. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 13:6613–663, 2996.

THE HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY RELATION

CUI MINGGEN

HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

NO.2 WENHUA WEST ROAD

WEIHAI, SHANDONG, 264209

CHINA

cmgyfs@263.net

ZHANG YANYING

HARBIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SCIENCE

HEXING ROAD

HARBIN, HEILONGJIANG, 150080

CHINA