

# ANNALES MATHÉMATIQUES



## BLAISE PASCAL

BÉRENGER AKON KPATA, IBRAHIM FOFANA AND KONIN KOUA

**Necessary condition for measures which are  $(L^q, L^p)$  multipliers**

Volume 16, n° 2 (2009), p. 339-353.

[http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP\\_2009\\_\\_16\\_2\\_339\\_0](http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2009__16_2_339_0)

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2009, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (<http://ambp.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://ambp.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques  
de l'université Blaise-Pascal, UMR 6620 du CNRS  
Clermont-Ferrand — France*

**cedram**

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

# Necessary condition for measures which are $(L^q, L^p)$ multipliers

BÉRENGER AKON KPATA  
IBRAHIM FOFANA  
KONIN KOUA

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\rho$  the left Haar measure on  $G$ . Given a non-negative Radon measure  $\mu$ , we establish a necessary condition on the pairs  $(q, p)$  for which  $\mu$  is a multiplier from  $L^q(G, \rho)$  to  $L^p(G, \rho)$ . Applied to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , our result is stronger than the necessary condition established by Oberlin in [14] and is closely related to a class of measures defined by Fofana in [7].

When  $G$  is the circle group, we obtain a generalization of a condition stated by Oberlin [15] and improve on it in some cases.

## Résumé

Soit  $G$  un groupe localement compact et  $\rho$  la mesure de Haar à gauche sur  $G$ . Etant donné une mesure de Radon positive  $\mu$ , nous établissons une condition nécessaire sur les couples  $(q, p)$  pour lesquels  $\mu$  est un multiplicateur de  $L^q(G, \rho)$  dans  $L^p(G, \rho)$ . Appliqué à  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , notre résultat est plus fort que la condition nécessaire établie par Oberlin dans [14] et est très lié à une classe de mesures définie par Fofana dans [7].

Lorsque  $G$  est le tore, nous obtenons une généralisation d'une condition énoncée par Oberlin [15] et l'améliorons dans certains cas.

## 1. Introduction

We suppose that  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $\rho$  is the left Haar measure on  $G$ .

For  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  is said to be  $L^q$ -improving if there exists a real number  $p > q$  such that

$$\mu * f \in L^p(G, \rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu * f\|_{L^p(G, \rho)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^q(G, \rho)}$$

---

*Keywords:* Cantor-Lebesgue measure,  $L^q$ -improving measure, non-negative Radon measure.

*Math. classification:* 43A05, 43A15.

for all  $f \in L^q(G, \rho)$ , where  $c$  is a real number not depending on  $f$ .

Of course absolutely continuous measures with Radon-Nikodym derivatives with respect to  $\rho$  in  $L^r(G, \rho)$  with  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} - 1 > 0$  are  $L^q$ -improving. But  $L^q$ -improving singular measures also exist.

Bonami [2] showed that all tame Riesz products on the Walsh group are  $L^q$ -improving, and that was extended to all compact abelian groups by Ritter [16]. Moreover it is well known that on the circle group  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , the Cantor-Lebesgue measure  $\mu_\delta^2$  associated with the Cantor set of constant ratio of dissection  $\delta > 2$  is  $L^q$ -improving for  $1 < q < \infty$ . (See Section 4 for a precise definition of this measure.) This result was proved by Oberlin [12] for  $\delta = 3$ . Ritter [17], Beckner, Janson and Jerison [1] proved the same for  $\delta$  rational and Christ [3] for  $\delta$  irrational.

In fact, Christ has extended the result to Cantor-Lebesgue measures with variable but bounded ratios  $2 < \delta_t \leq c$  of dissection.

In this note, we are interested in the following problem: given a non-negative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , determine the indices  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  for which there exists a non-negative constant  $c(\mu, q, p)$  such that

$$\|\mu * f\|_{L^p(G, \rho)} \leq c(\mu, q, p) \|f\|_{L^q(G, \rho)}, \quad f \in L^q(G, \rho). \quad (1.1)$$

In [15] Oberlin stated the following

**Proposition 1.1.** *If the Cantor-Lebesgue measure  $\mu_3^2$  associated to the middle third Cantor set satisfies (1.1), then*

$$\frac{1}{q} + \left(1 - \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Graham, Hare and Ritter obtained in [9] the following

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure on the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $1 \leq q < 2$ . If there exists a non-negative constant  $c(\mu, q)$  such that*

$$\|\mu * f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq c(\mu, q) \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{T}),$$

*then there exists a positive real number  $K$  such that for any interval  $I$  whose endpoints are  $x$  and  $x + h$ , we have*

$$|\mu(I)| \leq K |h|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

MEASURES WHICH ARE  $(L^q, L^p)$  MULTIPLIERS

Inequality (1.3) means that  $\mu$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order  $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Replacing  $\mathbb{T}$  by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Oberlin proved a similar necessary condition (see the proof of Proposition 2 in [14]).

**Proposition 1.3.** *If a non-negative Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies (1.1), then there exists a positive real number  $K$  such that*

$$\mu(R) \leq K |R|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.4}$$

for all rectangles  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the present paper, we establish the following necessary condition:

**Proposition 1.4.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is a non-negative Radon measure on  $G$  satisfying (1.1). Then for any subsets  $V$  and  $\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$  of  $G$  such that*

*i)  $V$  is relatively compact,*

*ii)  $I$  is countable and  $(x_i V) \cap (x_j V) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,*

*we have*

$$\rho(V)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \mu(x_i V)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu, q, p) \rho(V^{-1}V)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{1.5}$$

We show that all the necessary conditions stated in Proposition 1.1, Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 1.3 follow from Proposition 1.4.

Moreover any non-negative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 1.4 belongs to the space  $M^{p, \alpha}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$  (see Notation 3.4 and Section 4 for the definition of  $M^{p, \alpha}$ ). In [7], Fofana used these spaces of measures and their subspaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  to express a necessary condition for Fourier multipliers. He also obtained a generalization of Hausdorff-Young inequality. For other results related to these spaces see [6], [8] and [11].

Inequality (1.2) means exactly that  $\mu_\delta^2$  belongs to  $M^{p, \alpha}$  where  $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$  (see the comment after the proof of Proposition 4.2).

Applied to the Cantor-Lebesgue measure associated to the Cantor set of constant ratio of dissection  $\delta > 3$ , Proposition 1.4 yields the following

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $\delta > 3$  and  $1 < q < p < \infty$ . Assume that*

$$\left\| \mu_\delta^2 * f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq c \left( \mu_\delta^2, p, q \right) \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{T}).$$

Then

$$p \leq \frac{\log\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\delta}{3}\right)} q \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{q} + \left(1 - \frac{\log 2}{\log \delta}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq 1. \tag{1.7}$$

Notice that (1.6) is stronger than (1.7) if  $q > \frac{\log 3}{\log 2}$ . The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we prove Proposition 1.4 and apply it to  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  in Section 3. In Section 4 we examine the case  $G = \mathbb{T}$ .

## 2. Proof of Proposition 1.4

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a relatively compact subset of  $G$ . Then  $f = \chi_{V^{-1}V}$  belongs to  $L^q(G, \rho)$ . We have, for all  $i \in I$  and all  $x \in x_iV$ ,

$$\mu * f(x) = \int_G f(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y) \geq \int_{x_iV} f(y^{-1}x) d\mu(y),$$

$$y \in x_iV \implies y^{-1}x \in V^{-1}V \quad \text{and} \quad f(y^{-1}x) = 1$$

and therefore  $\mu * f(x) \geq \mu(x_iV)$ . It follows that

$$\int_G (\mu * f(x))^p d\rho(x) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \int_{x_iV} (\mu * f(x))^p d\rho(x) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \mu(x_iV)^p \rho(x_iV).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(V)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} \mu(x_iV)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \| \mu * f \|_{L^p(G, \rho)} \\ &\leq c(\mu, q, p) \| f \|_{L^q(G, \rho)} \\ &= c(\mu, q, p) \rho(V^{-1}V)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Case  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$

*Notation 3.1.* Let  $R$  be a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides  $a_i v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $(v_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is a direct orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $a_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

For any  $r > 0$  and  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , set

$$R_k^r = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i r a_i + x_i) v_i \mid 0 \leq x_i < r a_i, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

In other words,  $R_k^r$  is a rectangle which  $i$ -th edge is parallel to the vector  $v_i$  and of length  $r a_i$ . Notice that for  $r > 0$ , the family  $\{R_k^r \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ . If a non-negative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies (1.1), then for all rectangles  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\sup_{r>0} (r^n |R|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu, q, p) 2^{\frac{n}{q}}$$

where  $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r > 0$ . Notice that for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we have that  $R_k^r = R_0 + u_k$ , where  $R_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i \mid 0 \leq x_i < r a_i, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$  and  $u_k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i r a_i v_i$ .

It follows from Proposition 1.4 that

$$|R_0 - R_0|^{-\frac{1}{q}} |R_0|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu, q, p).$$

Since  $|R_0| = r^n |R|$ , we have

$$2^{-\frac{n}{q}} (r^n |R|)^{-\frac{1}{q}} (r^n |R|)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu, q, p).$$

Hence

$$(r^n |R|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu, q, p) 2^{\frac{n}{q}}.$$

The assertion follows. □

*Remark 3.3.* Proposition 1.3 is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.2. In fact, suppose that  $\mu$  satisfies (1.1) and let  $R$  be any rectangle. As  $\{R_k^1 / k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $R \subset \bigcup_{k \in M} R_k^1$ , where  $M$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  which number of elements does not exceed  $2^n$ . So  $\mu(R) \leq \sum_{k \in M} \mu(R_k^1)$

and by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \mu(R) &\leq 2^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \sum_{k \in M} \mu(R_k^1)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} |R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^1)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \sup_{r>0} (r^n |R|)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mu(R_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.2 we obtain

$$|R|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \mu(R) \leq 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q})} c(\mu, q, p).$$

*Notation 3.4.* For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ , set

$$I_k^r = \prod_{i=1}^n [k_i r, (k_i + 1) r] \quad \text{and} \quad J_x^r = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( x_i - \frac{r}{2}, x_i + \frac{r}{2} \right).$$

Let  $M^0$  denote the space of Radon measures (not necessarily non-negative) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $\mu \in M^0$ ,  $|\mu|$  stands for its total variation. Let  $1 \leq \alpha, p \leq \infty$ . For  $\mu \in M^0$  and  $r > 0$ , we set

$${}_r \|\mu\|_p = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\mu|(I_k^r)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\mu|(J_x^r) & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}$$

and  $\|\mu\|_{p, \alpha} = \sup_{r>0} r^{n(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} {}_r \|\mu\|_p$ .

We define  $M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ \mu \in M^0 / \|\mu\|_{p, \alpha} < \infty \right\}$ .

Another consequence of Proposition 3.2 is the following

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume that  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mu$  satisfies (1.1). Then  $\mu$  belongs to  $M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by choosing  $a_i = 1$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $(v_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} = (e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  the usual basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the definition of  $R_k^r$  in Proposition 3.2. □

#### 4. Case $G = \mathbb{T}$

In this section we suppose that  $m \geq 2$  is an integer. Let us describe the construction of the Cantor set with variable ratios of dissection and its associated Cantor-Lebesgue measure. We take the interval  $[0, 1)$  as a model for  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\delta_t > m$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ . Delete from  $[0, 1)$ ,  $(m - 1)$  left closed intervals of equal length  $\frac{1}{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\delta_1}\right)$  so that the  $m$  remaining left closed intervals denoted by  $E_l^1$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , are equally spaced and have the same length  $\frac{1}{\delta_1}$ . From each interval  $E_l^1$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , delete  $(m - 1)$  left closed intervals of equal length  $\frac{1}{(m-1)\delta_1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\delta_1\delta_2}\right)$  so that the  $m$  remaining left closed subintervals  $E_l^2$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq m^2$ , are equally spaced and have the same length  $\frac{1}{\delta_1\delta_2}$ . At this stage, the remaining subset of  $[0, 1)$  is  $C_{(\delta_1, \delta_2)}^m = \bigcup_{l=1}^{m^2} E_l^2$ . By iteration, we obtain a sequence of subsets  $C_{(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_j)}^m = \bigcup_{l=1}^{m^j} E_l^j$ , where each  $E_l^j$  is a left closed interval of length  $r_j = \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t^{-1}$ .  $C_{(\delta_t)}^m = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_j)}^m$  is the  $(m, (\delta_t))$ -Cantor set and the  $\delta_t$ 's are called its ratios of dissection. Associated to  $C_{(\delta_t)}^m$  in a natural way is a probability measure  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m$  satisfying  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m(E_l^j) = \frac{1}{m^j}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m^j$ . This measure is the Cantor-Lebesgue measure associated to the  $(m, (\delta_t))$ -Cantor set. When  $\delta_t = \delta$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , we write  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m = \mu_{\delta}^m$ . It follows that  $\mu_{\frac{2}{3}}^2$  is the usual Cantor-Lebesgue measure associated to the middle third Cantor set. For a detailed exposition on Cantor sets see Zygmund [19].

Notice that if  $\mu$  is a non-negative Radon measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then in a natural way, we may identify  $\mu$  with a non-negative Radon measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  having support in the interval  $[0, 1)$ . In addition, we have the following result established by Ritter in [17].



**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ , and suppose there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$\|\mu * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq K \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{T}).$$

*Then there is a constant  $K_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\nu * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq K_0 \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}).$$

Defining, for  $1 \leq \alpha, p \leq \infty$ ,

$$M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) = \{\mu \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}) / \text{supp}(\mu) \subset [0, 1]\}$$

where  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  denotes the support of  $\mu$ , it is easy to see that Corollary 3.5 holds in this setting.

The following result gives a characterization of measures  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m$  which belong to  $M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T})$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\delta_t > m, t = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that  $1 < \alpha \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m$  belongs to  $M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T})$  if and only if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \leq cm^{\frac{\alpha(p-1)j}{p(\alpha-1)}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*In particular, the Cantor-Lebesgue measure  $\mu_\delta^m$  of constant ratio of dissection  $\delta$  belongs to  $M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T})$  if and only if*

$$1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\log m}{\log \delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* a) For all  $r \geq 1$

$$r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \|\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m\|_p = r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \leq 1.$$

b) Let  $j$  be a positive integer and  $r_j = \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t^{-1}$ . Recall that for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m^j, |E_l^j| = r_j$  and  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m(E_l^j) = \frac{1}{m^j}$ . For each fixed  $l$ , put  $K_l = \{k \in \mathbb{N} / E_l^j \cap I_k^{r_j} \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $K_l$  has at most 2 elements. In the same way, for each fixed  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  set  $L_k = \{l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m^j\} / E_l^j \cap I_k^{r_j} \neq \emptyset\}$ .

MEASURES WHICH ARE  $(L^q, L^p)$  MULTIPLIERS

Then the number of elements of  $L_k$  is at most 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 m^j m^{-jp} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m^j} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (E_l^j)^p \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{m^j} \left( \sum_{k \in K_l} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (E_l^j \cap I_k^{r_j}) \right)^p \\
 &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{l \in L_k} \sum_{k \in K_l} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (E_l^j \cap I_k^{r_j})^p \\
 &= 2^{p-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{l \in L_k} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (E_l^j \cap I_k^{r_j})^p \\
 &\leq 2^p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (I_k^{r_j})^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left( r_j^{\frac{1}{j}(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)} m^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \right)^j = \left( \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} m^{-j(1-\frac{1}{p})} \leq 2r_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} r_j \left\| \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m \right\|_p.$$

c) Let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . There exists an integer  $j \geq 1$  such that  $r_j \leq r < r_{j-1}$  where  $r_0 = 1$  and  $r_n = \prod_{t=1}^n \delta_t^{-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Furthermore, each  $I_k^r$  intersects at most  $m$  intervals  $E_l^j$ . So  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (I_k^r) \leq m^{-j}m$ . The number of  $I_k^r$  which intersect the intervals  $E_l^j$  is at most  $2m^j$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m (I_k^r)^p \leq 2m^{j(1-p)}m^p.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} r \left\| \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m \right\|_p &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} m^{j(\frac{1}{p}-1)} m \\
 &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} r_j^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} m^{j(\frac{1}{p}-1)} m \\
 &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} m \left( \left( \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \right)^{\frac{1}{j}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} m^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \right)^j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) &\iff \sup_j \left( \left( \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \right)^{\frac{1}{j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} m^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \right)^j < \infty \\ \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) &\iff \prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \leq cm^{\frac{\alpha(p-1)j}{p(\alpha-1)}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant not depending on  $j$ .

d) Now, let  $\delta_t = \delta$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . From c) we know that:

$$\mu_{\delta}^m \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) \iff \delta^j \leq cm^{\frac{\alpha(p-1)j}{p(\alpha-1)}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant not depending on  $j$ . That means:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\delta}^m \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) &\iff \log \delta \leq \frac{\alpha(p-1)}{p(\alpha-1)} \log m \\ \mu_{\delta}^m \in M^{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{T}) &\iff 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\log m}{\log \delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that for  $1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ , (4.1) reduces to (1.2) when  $m = 2$  and  $\delta = 3$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\mu_{(\delta_t)}^m$  be the Cantor-Lebesgue measure with variable ratios  $\delta_t > m$  of dissection. Let  $1 < q < p < \infty$ . Assume that*

$$\left\| \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m * f \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq c \left( \mu_{(\delta_t)}^m, p, q \right) \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{T}).$$

*Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\prod_{t=1}^j \delta_t \leq cm^{\frac{q(p-1)j}{p-q}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*In particular, if  $\delta_t = \delta$  for all  $t \geq 1$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{q} + \left(1 - \frac{\log m}{\log \delta}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ . Then the desired result follows from Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 4.2. □

Proposition 1.1 is obtained from Proposition 4.3 by taking  $m = 2$  and  $\delta_t = 3$  for all  $t \geq 1$ .

MEASURES WHICH ARE  $(L^q, L^p)$  MULTIPLIERS

*Proof of Proposition 1.5.* We are in the case  $m = 2$  and  $\delta_t = \delta > 3$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . Let  $j$  be a positive integer. Observe that for any non-negative integer  $k$ , any  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{j+k}\}$ ,  $E_l^{j+k} = x_l^{j+k} + [0, \delta^{-j-k})$  and  $\mu_\delta^2(E_l^{j+k}) = 2^{-j-k}$ . Set  $A_0 = [0, \delta^{-j})$  and  $B_0 = A_0 - A_0 = (-\delta^{-j}, \delta^{-j})$ . From Proposition 1.4 we obtain

$$|B_0|^{-\frac{1}{q}} |A_0|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{2^j} \mu_\delta^2(E_l^j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu_\delta^2, p, q).$$

Observe that for fixed  $l$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^j\}$ ,  $E_l^j$  contains two intervals  $E_{l_1}^{j+1}$  and  $E_{l_2}^{j+1}$  satisfying

$$\mu_\delta^2(E_l^j) = \mu_\delta^2(E_{l_1}^{j+1} \cup E_{l_2}^{j+1})$$

and

$$E_{l_1}^{j+1} \cup E_{l_2}^{j+1} = x_l^j + \left( [0, \delta^{-j-1}) \cup [\delta^{-j} - \delta^{-j-1}, \delta^{-j}) \right).$$

Setting  $A_1 = [0, \delta^{-j-1}) \cup [\delta^{-j} - \delta^{-j-1}, \delta^{-j})$  and applying Proposition 1.4 we obtain

$$|A_1 - A_1|^{-\frac{1}{q}} |A_1|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{2^j} \mu_\delta^2(E_l^j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu_\delta^2, p, q).$$

But each preceding interval  $E_{l_i}^{j+1}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , contains two intervals  $E_{l_{i,1}}^{j+2}$  and  $E_{l_{i,2}}^{j+2}$  such that

$$\mu_\delta^2(E_{l_i}^{j+1}) = \mu_\delta^2(E_{l_{i,1}}^{j+2} \cup E_{l_{i,2}}^{j+2}) = \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}.$$

Moreover  $\bigcup_{i=1}^2 (E_{l_{i,1}}^{j+2} \cup E_{l_{i,2}}^{j+2}) = x_l^j + A_2$  where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= [0, \delta^{-j-2}) \cup [\delta^{-j-1} - \delta^{-j-2}, \delta^{-j-1}) \cup \\ &\cup [\delta^{-j} - \delta^{-j-1}, \delta^{-j} - \delta^{-j-1} + \delta^{-j-2}) \cup [\delta^{-j} - \delta^{-j-2}, \delta^{-j}). \end{aligned}$$

This remark enables us to apply again Proposition 1.4. Thus we obtain

$$|A_2 - A_2|^{-\frac{1}{q}} |A_2|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{2^j} \mu_\delta^2(E_l^j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu_\delta^2, p, q).$$

The iteration of the process leads us to two sequences of sets  $(A_k)_{k \geq 0}$  and  $(\widetilde{A}_k)_{k \geq 0}$  defined by:

$$A_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\delta} A_k \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \right), \quad \widetilde{A}_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} A_k \right)$$

with  $A_0 = [0, \delta^{-j}]$ ,  $\widetilde{A}_0 = (0, \delta^{-j}]$  and satisfying

$$|B_k|^{-\frac{1}{q}} |A_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{2^j} \mu_{\delta}^2 (E_l^j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(\mu_{\delta}^2, p, q), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $B_k = A_k - A_k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Notice that  $A_0 - A_0 = \widetilde{A}_0 - \widetilde{A}_0$  and  $|A_0| = |\widetilde{A}_0|$ . Furthermore, for any  $k \geq 0$ , clearly  $A_{k+1} - A_{k+1} = \widetilde{A}_{k+1} - \widetilde{A}_{k+1}$  and since  $\frac{1}{\delta} A_k \cap \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \right) = \emptyset = \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \cap \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} A_k \right)$  we have  $|A_{k+1}| = |\widetilde{A}_{k+1}|$ . Thus

$$A_k - A_k = \widetilde{A}_k - \widetilde{A}_k \quad \text{and} \quad |A_k| = |\widetilde{A}_k|, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Observe that:  $|A_0| = \delta^{-j}$ ,  $|A_1| = 2\delta^{-j-1}$  and  $|A_2| = 2^2\delta^{-j-2}$ . Suppose that for some integer  $k \geq 0$ ,  $|A_k| = 2^k\delta^{-j-k}$ . By the preceding remarks we get  $|A_{k+1}| = \frac{1}{\delta} |A_k| + \frac{1}{\delta} |\widetilde{A}_k| = \frac{2}{\delta} |A_k| = 2^{k+1}\delta^{-j-(k+1)}$ . We conclude that

$$|A_k| = 2^k\delta^{-j-k}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Notice that  $A_0 + \widetilde{A}_0 = (0, 2\delta^{-j}) = \delta^{-j} - (-\delta^{-j}, \delta^{-j}) = \delta^{-j} - (A_0 - A_0) = \delta^{-j} - B_0$ . Furthermore, for any  $k \geq 0$ , on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} B_{k+1} &= \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} A_k \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \right) \right] - \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} A_k \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} \widetilde{A}_k \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k - A_k) \cup \left( \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k + \widetilde{A}_k) - \delta^{-j} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A}_k + A_k) \right) \cup \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A}_k - \widetilde{A}_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k - A_k) \cup \left( \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k + \widetilde{A}_k) - \delta^{-j} \right) \cup \left( \delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A}_k + A_k) \right) \\ &\quad \text{(because of (4.3))} \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{k+1} + \widetilde{A_{k+1}} &= \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k + \widetilde{A_k}) \cup \left( \delta^{-j} + \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k - A_k) \right) \cup \\
 &\quad \cup \left( \delta^{-j} + \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A_k} - \widetilde{A_k}) \right) \cup \left( 2\delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A_k} + A_k) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k + \widetilde{A_k}) \cup \left( \delta^{-j} + \frac{1}{\delta} (A_k - A_k) \right) \cup \\
 &\quad \cup \left( 2\delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A_k} + A_k) \right) \quad (\text{because of (4.3)})
 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $A_{k+1} + \widetilde{A_{k+1}} = \delta^{-j} - B_{k+1}$ . Thus

$$|A_k - A_k| = |A_k + \widetilde{A_k}|, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Notice that for all  $k \geq 0$ , the sets  $\frac{1}{\delta} (A_k - A_k)$ ,  $\frac{1}{\delta} (A_k + \widetilde{A_k}) - \delta^{-j}$  and  $\delta^{-j} - \frac{1}{\delta} (\widetilde{A_k} + A_k)$  form a partition of  $B_{k+1}$ . Thus, by (4.4) we have  $|B_{k+1}| = \frac{3}{\delta} |A_k - A_k| = \frac{3}{\delta} |B_k|$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . As  $|B_0| = 2\delta^{-j}$ , we conclude that for all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $|B_k| = \left(\frac{3}{\delta}\right)^k 2\delta^{-j}$ .

Finally, using inequality (4.2) we get:

$$\left( 2 \left( \frac{3}{\delta} \right)^k \delta^{-j} \right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left( 2^k \delta^{-j-k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{j \left( \frac{1}{p} - 1 \right)} \leq c \left( \mu_{\delta}^2, p, q \right), \quad k \geq 0, j \geq 1$$

$$2^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left( 3^{-\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^k \left( \delta^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \right)^j \leq c \left( \mu_{\delta}^2, p, q \right), \quad k \geq 0, j \geq 1$$

$$3^{-\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} 2^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \leq 1$$

$$p \leq \frac{\log \left( \frac{\delta}{2} \right)}{\log \left( \frac{\delta}{3} \right)} q \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} + \left( 1 - \frac{\log 2}{\log \delta} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \leq 1.$$

□

## References

- [1] William Beckner, Svante Janson, and David Jerison, *Convolution inequalities on the circle*, Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. I, II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983, pp. 32–43. MR MR730056 (85j:42016)
- [2] A. Bonami, *Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de  $L^p(G)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), 335–402.
- [3] M. Christ, *A convolution inequality concerning Cantor-Lebesgue measures*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana **vol. 1**, n.º 4 (1985), 79–83.
- [4] K. J. Falconer, *The geometry of fractal sets*, Cambridge University Press, London/New York, 1985.
- [5] ———, *Fractal geometry*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [6] I. Fofana, *Continuité de l'intégrale fractionnaire et espaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$* , C. R. A. S. Paris **t. 308**, série I (1989), 525–527.
- [7] ———, *Transformation de Fourier dans  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  et  $M^{p, \alpha}$* , Afrika matematika **série 3**, **vol. 5** (1995), 53–76.
- [8] ———, *Espaces  $(L^q, l^p)^\alpha$  et continuité de l'opérateur maximal fractionnaire de Hardy-Littlewood*, Afrika matematika **série 3**, **vol. 12** (2001), 23–37.
- [9] C. C. Graham, K. Hare, and D. Ritter, *The size of  $L^p$ -improving measures*, J. Funct. Anal. **84** (1989), 472–495.
- [10] R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [11] K-S. Lau, *Fractal Measures and Mean  $\rho$ -Variations*, J. Funct. Anal. **108** (1992), 427–457.
- [12] D. M. Oberlin, *A convolution property of the Cantor-Lebesgue measure*, Colloq. Math. **47** (1982), 113–117.
- [13] ———, *Convolution with measure on hypersurfaces*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **129** (2000), 517–526.
- [14] ———, *Affine dimension : measuring the vestiges of curvature*, Michigan Math. J. **51** (2003), 13–26.

MEASURES WHICH ARE  $(L^q, L^p)$  MULTIPLIERS

- [15] ———, *A convolution property of the Cantor-Lebesgue measure II*, Colloq. Math. **97** (2003), no. 1, 23–28.
- [16] D. Ritter, *Most Riesz product measures are  $L^p$ -improving*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), 291–295.
- [17] ———, *Some singular measures on the circle which improve  $L^p$  spaces*, Colloq. Math. **52** (1987), 133–144.
- [18] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , vol. 13, pp. 97–135, Studies in Harmonic Analysis, MAA Studies in Mathematics, 1976, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D. C.
- [19] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series. 2nd ed. Vol. I*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959. MR MR0107776 (21 #6498)

BÉRENGER AKON KPATA  
UFR Mathématiques  
et Informatique  
Université de Cocody  
22 BP 582 Abidjan 22  
Côte d'Ivoire  
kpata\_akon@yahoo.fr

IBRAHIM FOFANA  
UFR Mathématiques  
et Informatique  
Université de Cocody  
22 BP 582 Abidjan 22  
Côte d'Ivoire  
fofana\_ib\_math\_ab@yahoo.fr

KONIN KOUA  
UFR Mathématiques  
et Informatique  
Université de Cocody  
22 BP 582 Abidjan 22  
Côte d'Ivoire  
kroubla@yahoo.fr