

ANNALES MATHÉMATIQUES



BLAISE PASCAL

TEODOR BANICA

Weingarten integration over noncommutative
homogeneous spaces

Volume 24, n° 2 (2017), p. 195-224.

http://ambp.cedram.org/item?id=AMBP_2017__24_2_195_0

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 2017, Certains droits réservés.



Cet article est mis à disposition selon les termes de la licence
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION – PAS DE MODIFICATION 3.0 FRANCE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/fr/>

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal »
(<http://ambp.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales
d'utilisation (<http://ambp.cedram.org/legal/>).

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques Blaise Pascal
de l'université Clermont Auvergne, UMR 6620 du CNRS
Clermont-Ferrand — France*

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Weingarten integration over noncommutative homogeneous spaces

TEODOR BANICA

Abstract

We discuss an extension of the Weingarten formula, to the case of noncommutative homogeneous spaces, under suitable “easiness” assumptions. The spaces that we consider are noncommutative algebraic manifolds, generalizing the spaces of type $X = G/H \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, with $H \subset G \subset U_N$ being subgroups of the unitary group, subject to certain uniformity conditions. We discuss various axiomatization issues, then we establish the Weingarten formula, and we derive some probabilistic consequences.

Intégration de Weingarten sur les espaces homogènes non commutatifs

Résumé

On présente une extension de la formule d’intégration de Weingarten, pour les espaces homogènes non commutatifs, vérifiant des hypothèses « d’aisance » adéquates. Les espaces qu’on considère sont des variétés algébriques non commutatives, généralisant les espaces du type $X = G/H \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, avec $H \subset G \subset U_N$ étant des sous-groupes du groupe unitaire, vérifiant certaines conditions d’uniformité. On traite d’abord les questions d’axiomatisation, ensuite on établit la formule de Weingarten, et on finit avec quelques conséquences probabilistes.

Introduction

Given a compact group action $G \curvearrowright X$, assumed to be transitive, we have $X = G/H$, where $H = \{g \in G \mid gx_0 = x_0\}$ is the stabilizer of a given point $x_0 \in X$. Thus, we have an embedding $C(X) \subset C(G)$. The unique G -invariant integration on X is then obtained as a composition, $\int : C(X) \subset C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and can be explicitly computed provided that we know how to integrate over G , for instance via a Weingarten type formula.

I would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and for a number of useful suggestions.

Keywords: Noncommutative manifold, Weingarten integration.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L51, 14A22, 60B15.

We discuss here some noncommutative extensions of these facts, based on some previous work in [1, 2, 4, 5]. The action $O_N^+ \curvearrowright S_{\mathbb{R},+}^{N-1}$, which is the free analogue of the usual action $O_N \curvearrowright S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}$, was studied some time ago, in [4]. Shortly afterwards, an extension to spaces of type G_N/G_{N-M} , with $M \leq N$, and with $G = (G_N)$ subject to some suitable uniformity assumptions (“easiness”) was discussed in [5]. More recently, various spaces of type $(G_M \times G_N)/(G_L \times G_{M-L} \times G_{N-L})$, with $L \leq M \leq N$, and with $G = (G_N)$ belonging to more general families of quantum groups, were studied in [1, 2].

The common feature of these spaces $X = G/H$ is that they are “easy”, in the sense that one can explicitly integrate on them, via a Weingarten type formula. The purpose of the present paper is to provide an axiomatic framework for such spaces, to advance at the level of the general theory, and to enlarge the class of known examples.

The paper is organized as follows: Sections 1-2 are preliminary sections, in Sections 3-4 we restrict the attention to the affine space case, in Sections 5-6 we discuss some basic examples, and in Sections 7-8 we focus on the easy space case and we discuss a number of probabilistic aspects.

1. Homogeneous spaces

We use Woronowicz’s quantum group formalism in [19, 20], with the extra assumption $S^2 = \text{id}$. In other words, the quantum groups that we will consider will be the abstract duals, in the sense of the general C^* -algebra theory, of the Hopf C^* -algebras considered in [19, 20], whose antipode satisfies the usual group-theoretic condition $S^2 = \text{id}$.

The precise definition of these latter algebras is as follows:

Definition 1.1. A finitely generated Hopf C^* -algebra is a unital C^* -algebra A , given with a unitary matrix $u \in M_N(A)$ whose coefficients generate A , such that the formulae

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}^*$$

define morphisms of C^* -algebras $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $S : A \rightarrow A^{opp}$.

The morphisms Δ, ε, S are called comultiplication, counit and antipode. They satisfy the usual Hopf algebra axioms, on the dense $*$ -subalgebra $\langle u_{ij} \rangle \subset A$.

There are two basic classes of examples of such algebras, as follows:

- (1) The function algebra $A = C(G)$, with $G \subset U_N$ being a compact Lie group, together with the matrix of standard coordinates, $u_{ij}(g) = g_{ij}$.
- (2) The group algebra $A = C^*(\Gamma)$, with $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_N \rangle$ being a finitely generated discrete group, taken with the matrix $u = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_N)$.

In view of these examples, we write in general $A = C(G) = C^*(\Gamma)$, with G being a compact quantum group, and Γ being a discrete quantum group. See [19, 20].

A closed quantum subgroup of a compact quantum group, $H \subset G$, corresponds by definition to a morphism of C^* -algebras $\rho : C(G) \rightarrow C(H)$, mapping standard coordinates to standard coordinates. Observe that such a morphism is automatically surjective, and transforms the structural maps Δ, ε, S of the algebra $C(G)$ into those of $C(H)$.

Let us recall as well that given a noncommutative compact space X , an action $G \curvearrowright X$ corresponds by definition to a coaction map $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(X)$, which is subject to the coassociativity condition $(\text{id} \otimes \Phi)\Phi = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Phi$. See e.g. [5].

Let us discuss now the quotient space construction:

Proposition 1.2. *Given a quantum subgroup $H \subset G$, with associated quotient map $\rho : C(G) \rightarrow C(H)$, if we define the quotient space $X = G/H$ by setting*

$$C(X) = \left\{ f \in C(G) \mid (\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta f = f \otimes 1 \right\}$$

then we have a coaction $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(X)$, obtained as the restriction of the comultiplication of $C(G)$. In the classical case, we obtain the usual space $X = G/H$.

Proof. Observe that $C(X) \subset C(G)$ is indeed a subalgebra, because it is defined via a relation of type $\varphi(f) = \psi(f)$, with φ, ψ morphisms. Observe also that in the classical case we obtain the algebra of continuous functions

on $X = G/H$, because:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta f &= f \otimes 1 \\
 \iff (\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta f(g, h) &= (f \otimes 1)(g, h), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall h \in H \\
 \iff f(gh) &= f(g), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall h \in H \\
 \iff f(gh) &= f(gk), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall h, k \in H.
 \end{aligned}$$

Regarding now the construction of Φ , observe that for $f \in C(X)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \rho)(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta f &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \rho)(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta f \\
 &= (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta f \\
 &= (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(f \otimes 1) \\
 &= \Delta f \otimes 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f \in C(X)$ implies $\Delta f \in C(G) \otimes C(X)$, and this gives the existence of Φ . Finally, the fact that Φ is coassociative is clear from definitions, and so is the fact that, in the classical case, we obtain in this way the standard action $G \curvearrowright G/H$. \square

As an illustration, in the group dual case we have:

Proposition 1.3. *Assume that $G = \widehat{\Gamma}$ is a discrete group dual.*

- (1) *The quantum subgroups of G are $H = \widehat{\Lambda}$, with $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ being a quotient group.*
- (2) *For such a quantum subgroup $\widehat{\Lambda} \subset \widehat{\Gamma}$, we have $\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Lambda} = \widehat{\Theta}$, where $\Theta = \ker(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows by using the theory from [19]. Indeed, since the algebra $C(G) = C^*(\Gamma)$ is cocommutative, so are all its quotients, and this gives the result.

Regarding now (2), consider a quotient map $r : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$, and denote by $\rho : C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C^*(\Lambda)$ its extension. With $f = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda_g \cdot g \in C^*(\Gamma)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f \in C(\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Lambda}) &\iff (\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 \\ &\iff \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda_g \cdot g \otimes r(g) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda_g \cdot g \otimes 1 \\ &\iff \lambda_g \cdot r(g) = \lambda_g \cdot 1, \quad \forall g \in \Gamma \\ &\iff \text{supp}(f) \subset \ker(r). \end{aligned}$$

But this means $\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Lambda} = \widehat{\Theta}$, with $\Theta = \ker(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$, as claimed. \square

Given two noncommutative compact spaces X, Y , we say that X is a quotient space of Y when we have an embedding of C^* -algebras $\alpha : C(X) \subset C(Y)$. We have:

Definition 1.4. We call a quotient space $G \rightarrow X$ homogeneous when the comultiplication $\Delta : C(G) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(G)$ satisfies $\Delta(C(X)) \subset C(G) \otimes C(X)$.

In other words, an homogeneous quotient space $G \rightarrow X$ is a noncommutative space coming from a subalgebra $C(X) \subset C(G)$, which is stable under the comultiplication.

The relation with the quotient spaces from Proposition 1.2 is as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *The following results hold:*

- (1) *The quotient spaces $X = G/H$ are homogeneous.*
- (2) *In the classical case, any homogeneous space is of type G/H .*
- (3) *In general, there are homogeneous spaces which are not of type G/H .*

Proof. Once again these results are well-known, the proof being as follows:

(1) This is clear from Proposition 1.2 above.

(2) Consider a quotient map $p : G \rightarrow X$. The invariance condition in the statement tells us that we must have an action $G \curvearrowright X$, given by $g(p(g')) = p(gg')$. Thus:

$$p(g') = p(g'') \implies p(gg') = p(gg''), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Now observe that $H = \{g \in G \mid p(g) = p(1)\}$ is a group, because $g, h \in H$ implies $p(gh) = p(g) = p(1)$, so $gh \in H$, and the other axioms are

satisfied as well. Our claim is that we have $X = G/H$, via $p(g) \rightarrow gH$. Indeed, $p(g) \rightarrow gH$ is well-defined and bijective, because $p(g) = p(g')$ is equivalent to $p(g^{-1}g') = p(1)$, so to $gH = g'H$, as desired.

(3) Given a discrete group Γ and an arbitrary subgroup $\Theta \subset \Gamma$, the quotient space $\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}$ is homogeneous. Now by using Proposition 1.3 above, we can see that if $\Theta \subset \Gamma$ is not normal, the quotient space $\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}$ is not of the form G/H . \square

Let us try now to understand the general properties of the homogeneous spaces $G \rightarrow X$, in the sense of Theorem 1.5. We recall that any compact quantum group G has a Haar integration functional $\int : C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, having the following invariance properties:

$$\left(\int \otimes \text{id}\right) \Delta = \left(\text{id} \otimes \int\right) \Delta = \int(\cdot)1.$$

For the existence and uniqueness of \int , we refer to Woronowicz's paper [19].

We have the following result, which is once again well-known:

Proposition 1.6. *Assume that a quotient space $G \rightarrow X$ is homogeneous.*

- (1) *The restriction $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(X)$ of Δ is a coaction.*
- (2) *We have $\Phi(f) = 1 \otimes f \implies f \in \mathbb{C}1$, and $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi f = \int f$.*
- (3) *The restriction of \int is the unique unital form satisfying $(\text{id} \otimes \tau)\Phi = \tau(\cdot)1$.*

Proof. These results are all elementary, the proof being as follows:

(1) This is clear from definitions, because Δ itself is a coaction.

(2) If $f \in C(G)$ is such that $\Delta(f) = 1 \otimes f$ then $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta f = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \times (1 \otimes f)$, and so $f = \varepsilon(f)1$. Regarding the second assertion, this follows from the right invariance property $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Delta f = \int f$ of the Haar functional of $C(G)$, by restriction to $C(X)$.

(3) The fact that $\text{tr} = \int|_{C(X)}$ is G -invariant, in the sense that $(\text{id} \otimes \text{tr})\Phi f = \text{tr}(f)1$, follows from the left invariance property $(\text{id} \otimes \int)\Delta f = \int f$ of the Haar functional of $C(G)$. Conversely, assuming that $\tau : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $(\text{id} \otimes \tau)\Phi f = \tau(f)1$, we have:

$$\left(\int \otimes \tau\right) \Phi(f) = \begin{cases} \int(\text{id} \otimes \tau)\Phi(f) = \int(\tau(f)1) = \tau(f) \\ \tau(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi(f) = \tau(\text{tr}(f)1) = \text{tr}(f). \end{cases}$$

Thus we have $\tau(f) = \text{tr}(f)$ for any $f \in C(X)$, and this finishes the proof. \square

Summarizing, we have a notion of noncommutative homogeneous space, which perfectly covers the classical case. In general, however, the group dual case shows that our formalism is more general than that of the quotient spaces G/H . See [8, 10, 12, 13].

2. Extended formalism

We discuss now an extra issue, of analytic nature. The point is that for one of the most basic examples of actions, $O_N^+ \curvearrowright S_{\mathbb{R},+}^{N-1}$, the associated morphism $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(G)$ is not injective. In order to include such examples, we must relax our axioms:

Definition 2.1. An extended homogeneous space consists of a morphism of C^* -algebras $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(G)$, and a coaction map $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(X)$, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(G) \otimes C(X) \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \alpha \\
 C(G) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C(G) \otimes C(G)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(G) \otimes C(X) \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id} \\
 C(G) & \xrightarrow{f(\cdot)1} & C(X)
 \end{array}$$

both commute, where f is the Haar integration over G . We then write $G \rightarrow X$.

When α is injective we obtain an homogeneous space in the sense of Section 1. The examples with α not injective include the standard action $O_N^+ \curvearrowright S_{\mathbb{R},+}^{N-1}$, for which we refer to [4], and the standard action $U_N^+ \curvearrowright S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$, discussed in Section 3 below.

Here are a few general remarks on the above axioms:

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that we have morphisms of C^* -algebras $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(G)$ and $\Phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(X)$, satisfying $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\Phi = \Delta\alpha$.*

- (1) *If α is injective on a dense $*$ -subalgebra $A \subset C(X)$, and $\Phi(A) \subset C(G) \otimes A$, then Φ is automatically a coaction map, and is unique.*
- (2) *The ergodicity type condition $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi = \int \alpha(\cdot)1$ is equivalent to the existence of a linear form $\lambda : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi = \lambda(\cdot)1$.*

Proof. Assuming that we have a dense $*$ -subalgebra $A \subset C(X)$ as in (1), the restriction $\Phi|_A$ is given by $\Phi|_A = (\text{id} \otimes \alpha|_A)^{-1}\Delta\alpha|_A$, and is therefore coassociative, and unique. By continuity, Φ itself follows to be coassociative and unique.

Regarding now (2), assuming $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi = \lambda(\cdot)1$, we have $(\int \otimes \alpha)\Phi = \lambda(\cdot)1$. But $(\int \otimes \alpha)\Phi = (\int \otimes \text{id})\Delta\alpha = \int \alpha(\cdot)1$, and so we have $\lambda = \int \alpha$, as claimed. \square

Given an extended homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$, with associated map $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(G)$, we can consider the image of this latter map, $\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y) \subset C(G)$. Equivalently, at the level of noncommutative spaces, we can factorize $G \rightarrow Y \subset X$. We have:

Proposition 2.3. *Consider an extended homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$.*

- (1) $\Phi(f) = 1 \otimes f \implies f \in \mathbb{C}1$.
- (2) $\text{tr} = \int \alpha$ is the unique unital G -invariant form on $C(X)$.
- (3) *The image space obtained by factorizing, $G \rightarrow Y$, is homogeneous.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi(f) = \int \alpha(f)1$, which gives $f = \int \alpha(f)1$. The fact that $\text{tr} = \int \alpha$ is indeed G -invariant can be checked as follows:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \text{tr})\Phi f = (\text{id} \otimes \int \alpha)\Phi f = (\text{id} \otimes \int)\Delta\alpha f = \int \alpha(f)1 = \text{tr}(f)1.$$

As for the uniqueness assertion, this follows as in the proof of Proposition 1.6.

Finally, the condition $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\Phi = \Delta\alpha$, together with the fact that i is injective, allows us to factorize Δ into a morphism Ψ , as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(G) \otimes C(X) \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \alpha \\
 C(Y) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & C(G) \otimes C(Y) \\
 i \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes i \\
 C(G) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C(G) \otimes C(G).
 \end{array}$$

Thus the image space $G \rightarrow Y$ is indeed homogeneous, and we are done. \square

Finally, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $G \rightarrow X$ be an extended homogeneous space, and construct quotients $X \rightarrow X'$, $G \rightarrow G'$ by performing the GNS construction with respect to $\int \alpha, \int$. Then α factorizes into an inclusion $\alpha' : C(X') \rightarrow C(G')$, and we have an homogeneous space.*

Proof. We factorize $G \rightarrow Y \subset X$ as in Proposition 2.3(3). By performing the GNS construction with respect to $\int i\alpha, \int i, \int$, we obtain a diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) & \xrightarrow{p} & C(X') & & \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha' & \searrow \text{tr}' & \\
 C(Y) & \xrightarrow{q} & C(Y') & & \mathbb{C} \\
 i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' & \nearrow f' & \\
 C(G) & \xrightarrow{r} & C(G') & &
 \end{array}$$

Indeed, with $\text{tr} = \int \alpha$, the GNS quotient maps p, q, r are defined respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ker p &= \{f \in C(X) \mid \text{tr}(f^*f) = 0\} \\
 \ker q &= \{f \in C(Y) \mid \int (f^*f) = 0\} \\
 \ker r &= \{f \in C(G) \mid \int (f^*f) = 0\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we can define factorizations i', α' as above. Observe that i' is injective, and that α' is surjective. Our claim now is that α' is injective as well. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'p(f) = 0 &\implies q\alpha(f) = 0 \implies \int \alpha(f^*f) = 0 \\ &\implies \text{tr}(f^*f) = 0 \implies p(f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that we have $X' = Y'$, and this gives the result. \square

3. Affine spaces

We discuss now the case that we are really interested in, where X is an algebraic manifold, and G acts affinely on it. Let us first recall that the free complex sphere $S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ and the free unitary quantum group U_N^+ are constructed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C(S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}) &= C^* \left(x_1, \dots, x_N \mid \sum_i x_i x_i^* = \sum_i x_i^* x_i = 1 \right) \\ C(U_N^+) &= C^* \left((u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u^* = u^{-1}, u^t = \bar{u}^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $u = (u_{ij})$ is the square matrix formed by the generators of $C(U_N^+)$. See [17].

It is known that $S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ is an extended homogeneous space over U_N^+ , the associated morphisms α, Φ being given by $\alpha(x_i) = u_{i1}$ and $\Phi(x_i) = \sum_a u_{ia} \otimes x_a$. See [4].

Motivated by this fundamental example, let us formulate:

Definition 3.1. An extended homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$ is called affine when $X \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ is an algebraic submanifold, $G \subset U_N^+$ is a closed quantum subgroup, and we have

$$\alpha(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} u_{ib}, \quad \Phi(x_i) = \sum_a u_{ia} \otimes x_a$$

for a certain set of indices $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Here the notion of algebraic manifold is the usual one, the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_N being subject to a number of (noncommutative) polynomial relations. As for the notion of quantum subgroup, we use here the general formalism from Section 1 above.

Observe that $U_N^+ \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ is indeed affine in this sense, with $I = \{1\}$. Observe also that the $1/\sqrt{|I|}$ constant appearing above is the correct one, because:

$$\sum_i \left(\sum_{b \in I} u_{ib} \right) \left(\sum_{b \in I} u_{ib} \right)^* = \sum_i \sum_{b,c \in I} u_{ib} u_{ic}^* = \sum_{b,c \in I} (u^t \bar{u})_{bc} = |I|.$$

In general now, a first remark is that the first extended homogeneous space axiom in Definition 2.1, namely $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\Phi = \Delta\alpha$, is automatic, because we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\Phi(x_i) &= \sum_a u_{ia} \otimes \alpha(x_a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_a \sum_{b \in I} u_{ia} \otimes u_{ab} \\ \Delta\alpha(x_i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} \Delta(u_{ib}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} \sum_a u_{ia} \otimes u_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

We make the standard convention that all the tensor exponents k are “colored integers”, that is, $k = e_1 \dots e_k$ with $e_i \in \{\circ, \bullet\}$, with \circ corresponding to the usual variables, and with \bullet corresponding to their adjoints. With this convention, we have:

Proposition 3.2. *The ergodicity condition $(\int \otimes \text{id})\Phi = \int \alpha(\cdot)1$ is equivalent to*

$$(Px^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k}, \quad \forall k, \forall i_1, \dots, i_k$$

where $P_{i_1 \dots i_k, j_1 \dots j_k} = \int u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_k j_k}^{e_k}$, and where $(x^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} = x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k}$.

Proof. We have indeed the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \otimes \text{id} \right) \Phi &= \int \alpha(\cdot)1 \\ \iff \left(\int \otimes \text{id} \right) \Phi(x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k}) &= \int \alpha(x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k}), \quad \forall k, \forall i_1, \dots, i_k \\ \iff \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, a_1 \dots a_k} x_{a_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{a_k}^{e_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k}, \quad \forall k, \forall i_1, \dots, i_k. \end{aligned}$$

But this gives the formula in the statement, and we are done. \square

As a consequence, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Given a closed quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$, and a set $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, if we consider the following C^* -subalgebra and the following quotient C^* -algebra,*

$$C(X_{G,I}^{min}) = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} u_{ib} \mid i = 1, \dots, N \right\rangle \subset C(G)$$

$$C(X_{G,I}^{max}) = C(S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}) /$$

$$\left\langle (Px^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k} \mid \forall k, \forall i_1, \dots, i_k \right\rangle$$

then we have maps $G \rightarrow X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X_{G,I}^{max} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$, the space $G \rightarrow X_{G,I}^{max}$ is affine extended homogeneous, and any affine homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$ appears as $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$.

Proof. Consider the standard coordinates on $X_{G,I}^{min}$, namely

$$X_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} u_{ib}.$$

The fact that we have $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ follows from the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i X_i X_i^* &= \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_i \sum_{b,c \in I} u_{ib} u_{ic}^* = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{b,c \in I} (u^t \bar{u})_{bc} = 1, \\ \sum_i X_i^* X_i &= \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_i \sum_{b,c \in I} u_{ib}^* u_{ic} = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{b,c \in I} (u^* u)_{bc} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove now that we have $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$, we must check the fact that the defining relations for $X_{G,I}^{max}$ are satisfied by the variables X_i .

But, we have indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (PX^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, a_1 \dots a_k} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} u_{a_1 b_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{a_k b_k}^{e_k} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} (Pu^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $Pu^{\otimes k} = P$ comes from the invariance properties of f . See [19].

Let us prove now that we have an action $G \curvearrowright X_{G,I}^{max}$. For this purpose, we must show that the variables $Z_i = \sum_a u_{ia} \otimes x_a$ satisfy the defining relations for $X_{G,I}^{max}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (PZ^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, a_1 \dots a_k} \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k} u_{a_1 c_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{a_k c_k}^{e_k} \otimes x_{c_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{c_k}^{e_k} \\
 &= \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k} (Pu^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k, c_1 \dots c_k} \otimes x_{c_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{c_k}^{e_k} \\
 &= \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, c_1 \dots c_k} \otimes x_{c_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{c_k}^{e_k} \\
 &= 1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} (Px^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, b_1 \dots b_k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an action $G \curvearrowright X_{G,I}^{max}$, and since this action is ergodic by Proposition 3.2, we have an extended homogeneous space. Finally, the last assertion is clear. \square

As a conclusion, the affine homogeneous spaces over a given closed subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$, in the sense of Definition 3.1, are the intermediate spaces $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$ having an action of G , with the maximal space $X_{G,I}^{max}$ known to be affine homogeneous.

4. Integration theory

In this section we improve Theorem 3.3, by constructing a ‘‘canonical’’ intermediate space $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X_{G,I} \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$, using the Schur–Weyl dual of

G , and we present as well a Weingarten integration formula, valid for any affine homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$.

Let us first recall the usual Weingarten formula [3, 9, 18]:

Proposition 4.1. *Assuming that $\{\xi_\pi \mid \pi \in D\}$ is a basis of $\text{Fix}(u^{\otimes k})$, we have*

$$\int u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_k j_k}^{e_k} = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{j_1 \dots j_k}} W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma)$$

where $W_{kN} = G_{kN}^{-1}$, with $G_{kN}(\pi, \sigma) = \langle \xi_\pi, \xi_\sigma \rangle$.

Proof. When the exponent $k = e_1 \dots e_k$ is fixed, and the indices i_1, \dots, i_k and j_1, \dots, j_k vary, the quantities on the left in the statement form the matrix P , and the quantities on the right form a certain matrix P' . We must prove that we have $P = P'$.

For any vector $x \in (\mathbb{C}^N)^{\otimes k}$, written $x = (x_{i_1 \dots i_k})$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (P'x)_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{j_1 \dots j_k} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{j_1 \dots j_k}} W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma) x_{j_1 \dots j_k} \\ &= \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} \langle x, \xi_\sigma \rangle W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma) (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this equality holds for any choice of i_1, \dots, i_k , we deduce that we have:

$$P'x = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} \langle x, \xi_\sigma \rangle W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma) \xi_\pi.$$

By standard linear algebra, we have then $Px = P'x$, and so $P = P'$. See [3]. \square

As a first application, we have the following result:

Proposition 4.2. *If $G \rightarrow X$ is an extended homogeneous space, the integration map $\int_X = \int \alpha$ is given by the Weingarten type formula*

$$\int_X x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} K_I(\sigma) W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma)$$

where $\{\xi_\pi \mid \pi \in D\}$ is a basis of $\text{Fix}(u^{\otimes k})$, and

$$K_I(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{b_1 \dots b_k}}.$$

Proof. By using the formula in Proposition 4.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X x_{i_1}^{e_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{e_k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \int u_{i_1 b_1}^{e_1} \cdots u_{i_k b_k}^{e_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{b_1 \dots b_k}} W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

But this gives the formula in the statement, and we are done. \square

Let us go back now to Theorem 3.3. We know from there that $X_{G,I}^{max} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ is constructed by imposing to the coordinates the conditions $Px^{\otimes k} = P^I$, where:

$$P_{i_1 \dots i_k, j_1 \dots j_k} = \int u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \cdots u_{i_k j_k}^{e_k}, \quad P_{i_1 \dots i_k}^I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_k \in I} P_{i_1 \dots i_k, j_1 \dots j_k}.$$

These quantities can be computed by using the Weingarten formula, and working out the details leads to the construction of a certain smaller space $X_{G,I}$, as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *Given a closed quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$, and a set $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, if we consider the following quotient algebra*

$$\begin{aligned} C(X_{G,I}) &= C(S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}) / \\ &\left\langle \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \xi_{a_1 \dots a_k} x_{a_1}^{e_1} \cdots x_{a_k}^{e_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \xi_{b_1 \dots b_k} \mid \forall k, \forall \xi \in \text{Fix}(u^{\otimes k}) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

we obtain in this way an affine homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X_{G,I}$.

Proof. We use Theorem 3.3. Let us first prove that we have an inclusion $X_{G,I} \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$. According to the integration formula in Proposition 4.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (Px^{\otimes k})_{i_1 \dots i_k} &= \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{a_1 \dots a_k}} W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma) x_{a_1}^{e_1} \cdots x_{a_k}^{e_k}, \\ P_{i_1 \dots i_k}^I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in D} (\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k} \overline{(\xi_\sigma)_{b_1 \dots b_k}} W_{kN}(\pi, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

We can see that the defining relations for $X_{G,I} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ imply $Px^{\otimes k} = P^I$, and so imply the relations defining $X_{G,I}^{max} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$. Thus, we have an inclusion $X_{G,I} \subset X_{G,I}^{max}$.

Let us prove now that we have $X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X_{G,I}$. We must check here that the variables $X_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{b \in I} u_{ib} \in C(X_{G,I}^{min})$ satisfy the relations defining $X_{G,I}$, and we have indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \xi_{a_1 \dots a_k} X_{a_1}^{e_1} \dots X_{a_k}^{e_k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \xi_{a_1 \dots a_k} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} u_{a_1 b_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{a_k b_k}^{e_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \xi_{b_1 \dots b_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in order to construct an action $G \curvearrowright X_{G,I}$, we must show that the variables $Z_a = \sum_i u_{ai} \otimes x_i$ satisfy the defining relations for $X_{G,I}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \xi_{a_1 \dots a_k} Z_{a_1}^{e_1} \dots Z_{a_k}^{e_k} &= \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \xi_{a_1 \dots a_k} u_{a_1 i_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{a_k i_k}^{e_k} \otimes x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \xi_{i_1 \dots i_k} \otimes x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} \\ &= 1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \xi_{b_1 \dots b_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an action $G \curvearrowright X_{G,I}$, and this finishes the proof. □

5. Basic examples

We discuss now some basic examples of affine homogeneous spaces, namely those coming from the classical groups, and those coming from the group duals. We will need:

Proposition 5.1. *Assuming that a closed subset $X \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ is affine homogeneous over a classical group, $G \subset U_N$, then X itself must be classical, $X \subset S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$.*

Proof. We use the well-known fact that, since the standard coordinates $u_{ij} \in C(G)$ commute, the corepresentation $u^{\circ \circ \bullet} = u^{\otimes 2} \otimes \bar{u}^{\otimes 2}$ has the

WEINGARTEN INTEGRATION

following fixed vector:

$$\xi = \sum_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_i \otimes e_j.$$

With $k = \circ \circ \bullet \bullet$ and with this vector ξ , the formula in Theorem 4.3 reads:

$$\sum_{ij} x_i x_j x_i^* x_j^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^4}} \sum_{i,j \in I} 1 = 1.$$

By using this formula, along with $\sum_i x_i x_i^* = \sum_i x_i^* x_i = 1$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{ij} (x_i x_j - x_j x_i)(x_j^* x_i^* - x_i^* x_j^*) \\ &= \sum_{ij} x_i x_j x_j^* x_i^* - x_i x_j x_i^* x_j^* - x_j x_i x_j^* x_i^* + x_j x_i x_i^* x_j^* \\ &= 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that we have $[x_i, x_j] = 0$, for any i, j . By using now this commutation relation, plus once again the relations defining $S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$, we have as well:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{ij} (x_i x_j^* - x_j^* x_i)(x_j x_i^* - x_i^* x_j) \\ &= \sum_{ij} x_i x_j^* x_j x_i^* - x_i x_j^* x_i^* x_j - x_j^* x_i x_j x_i^* + x_j^* x_i x_i^* x_j \\ &= \sum_{ij} x_i x_j^* x_j x_i^* - x_i x_i^* x_j^* x_j - x_j^* x_j x_i x_i^* + x_j^* x_i x_i^* x_j \\ &= 1 - 1 - 1 + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $[x_i, x_j^*] = 0$ as well, and so $X \subset S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$, as claimed. □

We can now formulate the result in the classical case, as follows:

Proposition 5.2. *In the classical case, $G \subset U_N$, there is only one affine homogeneous space, for each index set $I = \{1, \dots, N\}$, namely the quotient space*

$$X = G/(G \cap C_N^I)$$

where $C_N^I \subset U_N$ is the group of unitaries fixing the vector $\xi_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}}(\delta_{i \in I})_i$.

Proof. Consider an affine homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$. We already know from Proposition 5.1 above that X is classical. We will first prove that we have $X = X_{G,I}^{min}$, and then we will prove that $X_{G,I}^{min}$ equals the quotient space in the statement.

We use the well-known fact that the functional $E = (f \otimes \text{id})\Phi$ is the projection onto the fixed point algebra $C(X)^\Phi = \{f \in C(X) \mid \Phi(f) = 1 \otimes f\}$. Thus our ergodicity condition, namely $E = \int \alpha(\cdot)1$, shows that we must have $C(X)^\Phi = \mathbb{C}1$. Now since in the classical case the condition $\Phi(f) = 1 \otimes f$ reads $f(gx) = f(x)$ for any $g \in G$ and $x \in X$, we recover in this way the usual ergodicity condition, stating that whenever a function $f \in C(X)$ is constant on the orbits of the action, it must be constant.

Now observe that for an affine action, the orbits are closed. Thus an affine action which is ergodic must be transitive, and we deduce from this that we have $X = X_{G,I}^{min}$.

We know that the inclusion $C(X) \subset C(G)$ comes via $x_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} u_{ij}$. Thus, the quotient map $p : G \rightarrow X \subset S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$ is given by the following formula:

$$p(g) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} g_{ij} \right)_i.$$

In particular, the image of the unit matrix $1 \in G$ is the following vector:

$$p(1) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} \delta_{ij} \right)_i = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \delta_{i \in I} \right)_i = \xi_I.$$

But this gives the result, and we are done. □

Let us discuss now the group dual case. Given a discrete group $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_N \rangle$, we can consider the embedding $\widehat{\Gamma} \subset U_N^+$ given by $u_{ij} = \delta_{ij}g_i$. We have then:

Proposition 5.3. *In the group dual case, $G = \widehat{\Gamma}$ with $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_N \rangle$, we have*

$$X = \widehat{\Gamma}_I \quad , \quad \Gamma_I = \langle g_i \mid i \in I \rangle \subset \Gamma$$

for any affine homogeneous space X , when identifying full and reduced group algebras.

Proof. Assume indeed that we have an affine homogeneous space $G \rightarrow X$, as in Definition 3.1. In terms of the rescaled coordinates $h_i = \sqrt{|I|x_i}$, our

axioms for α, Φ read:

$$\alpha(h_i) = \delta_{i \in I} g_i, \quad \Phi(h_i) = g_i \otimes h_i.$$

As for the ergodicity condition, this translates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \otimes \text{id} \right) \Phi(h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p}) &= \int \alpha(h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p}) \\ \iff \left(\int \otimes \text{id} \right) (g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p} \otimes h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p}) &= \int_G \delta_{i_1 \in I} \dots \delta_{i_p \in I} g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p} \\ \iff \delta_{g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p}, 1} h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p} &= \delta_{g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p}, 1} \delta_{i_1 \in I} \dots \delta_{i_p \in I} \\ \iff \left[g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p} = 1 \implies h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p} \right. &= \delta_{i_1 \in I} \dots \delta_{i_p \in I} \left. \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that from $g_i g_i^* = g_i^* g_i = 1$ we obtain in this way $h_i h_i^* = h_i^* h_i = \delta_{i \in I}$. Thus the elements h_i vanish for $i \notin I$, and are unitaries for $i \in I$. We conclude that we have $X = \widehat{\Lambda}$, where $\Lambda = \langle h_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ is the group generated by these unitaries.

In order to finish the proof, our claim is that for indices $i_x \in I$ we have:

$$g_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots g_{i_p}^{e_p} = 1 \iff h_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots h_{i_p}^{e_p} = 1.$$

Indeed, \implies comes from the ergodicity condition, as processed above, and \impliedby comes from the existence of the morphism α , which is given by $\alpha(h_i) = g_i$, for $i \in I$. \square

Let us go back now to the general case, and discuss a number of further axiomatization issues, based on the examples that we have. We will need:

Proposition 5.4. *The closed subspace $C_N^{I+} \subset U_N^+$ defined via*

$$C(C_N^{I+}) = C(U_N^+) / \langle u \xi_I = \xi_I \rangle$$

where $\xi_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} (\delta_{i \in I})_i$, is a compact quantum group.

Proof. We must check Woronowicz's axioms, and the proof goes as follows:

(1) Let us set $U_{ij} = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$. We have then:

$$\begin{aligned} (U \xi_I)_i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} U_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes (u \xi_I)_k \\ &= \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes (\xi_I)_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{k \in I} u_{ik} \otimes 1 = (u \xi_I)_i \otimes 1 = (\xi_I)_i \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define indeed a comultiplication map, by $\Delta(u_{ij}) = U_{ij}$.

(2) In order to construct the counit map, $\varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$, we must prove that the identity matrix $1 = (\delta_{ij})_{ij}$ satisfies $1\xi_I = \xi_I$. But this is clear.

(3) In order to construct the antipode, $S(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}^*$, we must prove that the adjoint matrix $u^* = (u_{ji}^*)_{ij}$ satisfies $u^*\xi_I = \xi_I$. But this is clear from $u\xi_I = \xi_I$. \square

Based on the computations that we have so far, we can formulate:

Theorem 5.5. *Given a closed quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$ and a set $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have a quotient map and an inclusion map as follows:*

$$G/(G \cap C_N^{I+}) \rightarrow X_{G,I}^{min} \subset X_{G,I}^{max}.$$

These maps are both isomorphisms in the classical case. In general, they are both proper.

Proof. Consider the quantum group $H = G \cap C_N^{I+}$, which is by definition such that at the level of the corresponding algebras, we have $C(H) = C(G) / \langle u\xi_I = \xi_I \rangle$.

In order to construct a quotient map $G/H \rightarrow X_{G,I}^{min}$, we must check that the defining relations for $C(G/H)$ hold for the standard generators $x_i \in C(X_{G,I}^{min})$. But if we denote by $\rho : C(G) \rightarrow C(H)$ the quotient map, then we have, as desired:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \rho)\Delta x_i = (\text{id} \otimes \rho) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \right) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes (\xi_I)_k = x_i \otimes 1.$$

In the classical case, Proposition 5.2 shows that both the maps in the statement are isomorphisms. For the group duals, however, these maps are not isomorphisms, in general. This follows indeed from Proposition 5.3, and from the general theory in [5]. \square

It is quite unclear when the maps in Theorem 5.5 are both isomorphisms. Our conjecture is that this should happen when the dual of $G \subset U_N^+$ is amenable.

6. Further examples

We discuss now a number of further examples of affine homogeneous spaces, namely the quantum groups themselves, and their “column spaces” from [5]. We will need:

Proposition 6.1. *Given a compact matrix quantum group $G = (G, u)$, the pair $G^t = (G, u^t)$, where $(u^t)_{ij} = u_{ji}$, is a compact matrix quantum group as well.*

Proof. The construction of the comultiplication is as follows, where Σ is the flip map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^t[(u^t)_{ij}] &= \sum_k (u^t)_{ik} \otimes (u^t)_{kj} \iff \Delta^t(u_{ji}) = \sum_k u_{ki} \otimes u_{jk} \\ &\iff \Delta^t = \Sigma\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

As for the corresponding counit and antipode, these can be simply taken to be (ε, S) , and the conditions in Definition 1.1 above are satisfied. \square

We will need as well the following result, which is standard as well:

Proposition 6.2. *Given two closed subgroups $G \subset U_N^+$ and $H \subset U_M^+$, with fundamental corepresentations denoted $u = (u_{ij})$ and $v = (v_{ab})$, their product is a closed subgroup $G \times H \subset U_{NM}^+$, with fundamental corepresentation $w_{ia,jb} = u_{ij} \otimes v_{ab}$.*

Proof. The corresponding structural maps are $\Delta(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \Delta(\alpha)_{13}\Delta(\beta)_{24}$, $\varepsilon(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\varepsilon(\beta)$ and $S(\alpha \otimes \beta) = S(\alpha)S(\beta)$, the verifications being as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w_{ia,jb}) &= \Delta(u_{ij})_{13}\Delta(v_{ab})_{24} = \sum_{kc} u_{ik} \otimes v_{ac} \otimes u_{kj} \otimes v_{cb} \\ &= \sum_{kc} w_{ia,kc} \otimes w_{kc,jb}, \\ \varepsilon(w_{ia,jb}) &= \varepsilon(u_{ij})\varepsilon(v_{ab}) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{ab} = \delta_{ia,jb}, \\ S(w_{ia,jb}) &= S(u_{ij})S(v_{ab}) = v_{ba}^* u_{ji}^* = (u_{ji} v_{ba})^* = w_{jb,ia}^*. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to Wang’s paper [17] for more details regarding this construction. \square

Let us call a closed quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$ self-transpose when we have an automorphism $T : C(G) \rightarrow C(G)$ given by $T(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}$. Observe

that in the classical case, this amounts in $G \subset U_N$ to be closed under the transposition operation $g \rightarrow g^t$.

Finally, let us call $G \subset U_N^+$ reduced when its Haar functional is faithful. See [19].

With these notions in hand, let us go back to the affine homogeneous spaces. As a first result here, any closed subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$ appears as an affine homogeneous space over an appropriate quantum group, as follows:

Proposition 6.3. *Given a reduced quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$, we have an identification $X_{\mathcal{G},I}^{min} \simeq G$, given at the level of standard coordinates by $x_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}u_{ij}$, where:*

$$(1) \mathcal{G} = G \times G^t \subset U_{N^2}^+, \text{ with coordinates } w_{ia,jb} = u_{ij} \otimes u_{ba}.$$

$$(2) I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}^2 \text{ is the diagonal set, } I = \{(k, k) \mid k = 1, \dots, N\}.$$

In the self-transpose case we can choose as well $\mathcal{G} = G \times G$, with $w_{ia,jb} = u_{ij} \otimes u_{ab}$.

Proof. In order to prove the first assertion, observe that $\alpha = \Delta$ and $\Phi = (\text{id} \otimes \Sigma)\Delta^{(2)}$ are given by the usual formulae for the affine homogeneous spaces, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u_{ij}) &= \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} = \sum_k w_{ij,kk}, \\ \Phi(u_{ij}) &= \sum_{kl} u_{ik} \otimes u_{lj} \otimes u_{kl} = \sum_{kl} w_{ij,kl} \otimes u_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

The ergodicity condition being clear as well, this gives the result.

Regarding now the last assertion, assume that we are in the self-transpose case, and so that we have an automorphism $T : C(G) \rightarrow C(G)$ given by $T(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}$. The maps $\alpha = (\text{id} \otimes T)\Delta$ and $\Phi = (\text{id} \otimes T \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Sigma)\Delta^{(2)}$ are then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u_{ij}) &= \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{jk} = \sum_k w_{ij,kk}, \\ \Phi(u_{ij}) &= \sum_{kl} u_{ik} \otimes u_{jl} \otimes u_{kl} = \sum_{kl} w_{ij,kl} \otimes u_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again the ergodicity condition being clear as well, this gives the result. \square

Let us discuss now the generalization of the above result, to the context of the spaces introduced in [5]. We recall from there that we have the following construction:

Definition 6.4. Given a closed subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$ and an integer $M \leq N$ we set

$$C(G_{N \times M}) = \langle u_{ij} \mid i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, j \in \{1, \dots, M\} \rangle \subset C(G)$$

and we call column space of G the underlying quotient space $G \rightarrow G_{N \times M}$.

As a basic example here, at $M = N$ we obtain G itself. Also, at $M = 1$ we obtain the space whose coordinates are those on the first column of coordinates on G . See [5].

Given $G \subset U_N^+$ and an integer $M \leq N$, we can consider the quantum group $H = G \cap U_M^+$, with the intersection taken inside U_N^+ , and with $U_M^+ \subset U_N^+$ given by $u = \text{diag}(v, 1_{N-M})$. Observe that we have a quotient map $C(G) \rightarrow C(H)$, given by $u_{ij} \rightarrow v_{ij}$.

We have the following extension of Proposition 6.3:

Theorem 6.5. *Given a reduced quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$, we have an identification $X_{\mathcal{G}, I}^{\min} \simeq G_{N \times M}$, given at the level of standard coordinates by $x_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} u_{ij}$, where:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G} = G \times H^t \subset U_{NM}^+$, where $H = G \cap U_M^+$, with coordinates $w_{ia, jb} = u_{ij} \otimes v_{ba}$.
- (2) $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, M\}$ is the diagonal set, $I = \{(k, k) \mid k = 1, \dots, M\}$.

In the self-transpose case we can choose as well $\mathcal{G} = G \times G$, with $w_{ia, jb} = u_{ij} \otimes v_{ab}$.

Proof. We will prove that the space $X = G_{N \times M}$, with coordinates $x_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} u_{ij}$, coincides with the space $X_{\mathcal{G}, I}^{\min}$ constructed in the statement, with its standard coordinates.

For this purpose, consider the following composition of morphisms, where in the middle we have the comultiplication, and at left and right we have the canonical maps:

$$C(X) \subset C(G) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(G) \rightarrow C(G) \otimes C(H).$$

The standard coordinates are then mapped as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} u_{ij} &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k \leq M} u_{ik} \otimes v_{kj} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k \leq M} w_{ij, kk}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the standard coordinates on the space $X_{G,I}^{min}$, as claimed. Finally, the last assertion is standard as well, by suitably modifying the above morphism. \square

Let us mention that, with a little more work, one can prove that the spaces $G_{N \times M}^L$ from [2], depending on an extra parameter $L \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, are covered as well by our formalism, the idea here being to truncate the index set, $I = \{(k, k) \mid k = 1, \dots, L\}$.

7. The easy case

We discuss now what happens when G is easy, or more generally, motivated by the examples in Section 6 above, when it is a product of easy quantum groups.

Regarding easiness in general, we refer to [6, 14, 16]. In the context of the present paper, let us go back to the Schur–Weyl considerations in Section 4:

- (1) We would need there explicit bases $\{\xi_\pi \mid \pi \in D(k)\}$ for the spaces $Fix(u^{\otimes k})$, along with, if possible, explicit formulae for the vector entries $(\xi_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k}$.
- (2) Equivalently, we would need bases $\{T_\pi \mid \pi \in D(k, l)\}$ for the spaces $Hom(u^{\otimes k}, u^{\otimes l})$, along with explicit formulae for the matrix entries $(T_\pi)_{i_1 \dots i_k, j_1 \dots j_l}$.

Here the equivalence between (1) and (2) is standard, see [19]. Now in order to do so, one idea is to use set-theoretic partitions, and the following construction:

Definition 7.1. Associated to any partition $\pi \in P(k, l)$ is the linear map

$$T_\pi(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_l} \delta_\pi \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \dots i_k \\ j_1 \dots j_l \end{pmatrix} e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_l}$$

where $\delta_\pi \in \{0, 1\}$ equals 1 when the indices fit, and equals 0 otherwise.

Here $\pi \in P(k, l)$ means that π has k upper legs and l lower legs, and by “fitting” we mean that, when putting the indices on the legs, each block contains equal indices.

In order to get now back to the quantum groups, we use Tannakian duality. Let us recall from [6, 16] that a category of partitions is a collection of subsets $D(k, l) \subset P(k, l)$, one for each choice of colored integers k, l , which is stable under vertical and horizontal concatenation, and under upside-down turning. With this convention, we have:

Definition 7.2. A closed quantum subgroup $G \subset U_N^+$ is called easy when we have

$$\text{Hom}(u^{\otimes k}, u^{\otimes l}) = \text{span}(T_\pi \mid \pi \in D(k, l))$$

for a certain category of partitions $D = (D(k, l))$.

As basic examples, we have the groups S_N, O_N, U_N , coming from the categories of all partitions/pairings/matching pairings, and their free analogues S_N^+, O_N^+, U_N^+ , coming from the categories of noncrossing partitions/pairings/matching pairings. See [6, 16].

Now back to our homogeneous space questions, we have:

Proposition 7.3. *When $G \subset U_N^+$ is easy, coming from a category of partitions D , the space $X_{G,I} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ appears by imposing the relations*

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \delta_\pi(i_1 \dots i_k) x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} = |I|^{|\pi|-k/2}, \quad \forall k, \forall \pi \in D(k)$$

where $D(k) = D(0, k)$, and where $|\cdot|$ denotes the number of blocks.

Proof. We know by easiness that $\text{Fix}(u^{\otimes k})$ is spanned by the vectors $\xi_\pi = T_\pi$, with $\pi \in D(k)$. According to Definition 7.1, these latter vectors are given by:

$$\xi_\pi = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \delta_\pi(i_1 \dots i_k) e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}.$$

By applying now Theorem 4.3, with this particular choice of the vectors $\{\xi_\pi\}$, we deduce that $X_{G,I} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ appears by imposing the following relations:

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \delta_\pi(i_1 \dots i_k) x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \delta_\pi(b_1 \dots b_k), \quad \forall k, \forall \pi \in D(k).$$

Now since the sum on the right equals $|I|^{|\pi|}$, this gives the result. \square

More generally now, in view of the examples from section 6 above, making the link with [5], it is interesting to work out what happens when G is a product of easy quantum groups, and the index set I appears as $I = \{(c, \dots, c) \mid c \in J\}$, for a certain set J .

The result here, in its most general form, is as follows:

Theorem 7.4. *For a product of easy quantum groups, $G = G_{N_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times G_{N_s}^{(s)}$, and with $I = \{(c, \dots, c) \mid c \in J\}$, the space $X_{G,I} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ appears by imposing the relations*

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \delta_\pi(i_1 \dots i_k) x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} = |J|^{\|\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s\| - k/2},$$

$$\forall k, \forall \pi \in D^{(1)}(k) \times \dots \times D^{(s)}(k)$$

where $D^{(r)} \subset P$ is the category of partitions associated to $G_{N_r}^{(r)} \subset U_{N_r}^+$, and where the partition $\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s \in P(k)$ is the one obtained by superposing π_1, \dots, π_s .

Proof. Since we are in a direct product situation, $G = G_{N_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times G_{N_s}^{(s)}$, the general theory in [17] applies, and shows that a basis for $Fix(u^{\otimes k})$ is provided by the vectors $\rho_\pi = \xi_{\pi_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{\pi_s}$, with $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s) \in D^{(1)}(k) \times \dots \times D^{(s)}(k)$.

Once again Theorem 4.3 applies, and shows that the space $X_{G,I} \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$ appears by imposing the following relations to the standard coordinates:

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k} \delta_\pi(i_1 \dots i_k) x_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots x_{i_k}^{e_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|^k}} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \delta_\pi(b_1 \dots b_k),$$

$$\forall k, \forall \pi \in D^{(1)}(k) \times \dots \times D^{(s)}(k).$$

Since the conditions $b_1, \dots, b_k \in I$ read $b_1 = (c_1, \dots, c_1), \dots, b_k = (c_k, \dots, c_k)$, for certain elements $c_1, \dots, c_k \in J$, the sums on the right are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b_1 \dots b_k \in I} \delta_\pi(b_1 \dots b_k) &= \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k \in J} \delta_\pi(c_1, \dots, c_1, \dots, c_k, \dots, c_k) \\ &= \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k \in J} \delta_{\pi_1}(c_1 \dots c_k) \dots \delta_{\pi_s}(c_1 \dots c_k) \\ &= \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k \in J} \delta_{\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s}(c_1 \dots c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now since the sum on the right equals $|J|^{\lvert\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s\rvert}$, this gives the result. \square

8. Probabilistic aspects

Consider the spaces $X = X_{G,I}$ from Theorem 7.4. Our purpose now will be to establish some liberation results, in the sense of the Bercovici–Pata bijection [7].

As in [1, 2], we use suitable sums of “non-overlapping” coordinates. To be more precise, since we are in a direct product situation, in $N = N_1 \dots N_s$ dimensions, we can consider “diagonal” coordinates $x_{i\dots i}$, and then sum them over various indices i .

As a first result regarding such variables, we have:

Proposition 8.1. *The moments of the variable $\chi_T = \sum_{i \leq T} x_{i\dots i}$ are given by*

$$\int_X \chi_T^k \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{\pi \in D^{(1)}(k) \cap \dots \cap D^{(s)}(k)} \left(\frac{TM}{N}\right)^{|\pi|}$$

in the $N_i \rightarrow \infty$ limit, $\forall i$, where $M = |I|$, and $N = N_1 \dots N_s$.

Proof. We have the following formula:

$$\pi(x_{i_1\dots i_s}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{c \in J} u_{i_1 c} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{i_s c}.$$

For the variable in the statement, we therefore obtain:

$$\pi(\chi_T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{i \leq T} \sum_{c \in J} u_{ic} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{ic}.$$

Now by raising to the power k and integrating, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi_T^k &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k \leq T} \sum_{c_1 \dots c_k \in J} \int_{G^{(1)}} u_{i_1 c_1} \dots u_{i_k c_k} \dots \int_{G^{(s)}} u_{i_1 c_1} \dots u_{i_k c_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{ic} \sum_{\pi\sigma} \delta_{\pi_1}(i) \delta_{\sigma_1}(c) W_{kN_1}^{(1)}(\pi_1, \sigma_1) \dots \delta_{\pi_s}(i) \delta_{\sigma_s}(c) W_{kN_s}^{(s)}(\pi_s, \sigma_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{\pi\sigma} T^{|\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s|} M^{|\sigma_1 \vee \dots \vee \sigma_s|} W_{kN_1}^{(1)}(\pi_1, \sigma_1) \dots W_{kN_s}^{(s)}(\pi_s, \sigma_s). \end{aligned}$$

We use now the standard fact, from [2], that the Weingarten functions are concentrated on the diagonal. Thus in the limit we must have $\pi_i = \sigma_i$ for any i , and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi_T^k &\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{\pi} T^{|\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s|} M^{|\pi_1 \vee \dots \vee \pi_s|} N_1^{-|\pi_1|} \dots N_s^{-|\pi_s|} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{\pi \in D^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap D^{(s)}} T^{|\pi|} M^{|\pi|} (N_1 \dots N_s)^{-|\pi|} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^k}} \sum_{\pi \in D^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap D^{(s)}} \left(\frac{TM}{N} \right)^{|\pi|}. \end{aligned}$$

But this gives the formula in the statement, and we are done. □

As a consequence, we have the following result:

Theorem 8.2. *In the context of a liberation operation for quantum groups, $G^{(i)} \rightarrow G^{(i)+}$, the laws of the variables $\sqrt{M}\chi_T$ are in Bercovici–Pata bijection, in the $N_i \rightarrow \infty$ limit.*

Proof. Assume indeed that we have easy quantum groups $G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(s)}$, with free versions $G^{(1)+}, \dots, G^{(s)+}$. At the level of the categories of partitions, we have:

$$\bigcap_i (D^{(i)} \cap NC) = \left(\bigcap_i D^{(i)} \right) \cap NC.$$

Since the intersection of Hom-spaces is the Hom-space for the generated quantum group, we deduce that at the quantum group level, we have:

$$\langle G^{(1)+}, \dots, G^{(s)+} \rangle = \langle G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(s)} \rangle^+.$$

Thus the result follows from Proposition 8.1, and from the Bercovici–Pata bijection result for truncated characters for this latter liberation operation [6, 16]. □

As a conclusion, Theorem 7.4 provides a quite reasonable definition for the notion of “easy homogeneous space”. There are of course several potential extensions to be explored, by using for instance the more general notions from [11, 15]. Interesting as well would be to try to understand what an “easy algebraic manifold” should be, independently of the quantum group context. Observe that this latter question makes indeed sense, because in the context of the general considerations in Section 3 above,

$G \subset U_N^+$ appears as a certain uniquely determined quantum subgroup of the affine quantum isometry group of $X \subset S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1}$. Thus, an axiomatization of the easy algebraic manifolds is in principle possible, without direct reference to the underlying compact quantum groups.

References

- [1] T. BANICA – “The algebraic structure of quantum partial isometries”, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **19** (2016), no. 1, p. 1–36.
- [2] ———, “Liberation theory for noncommutative homogeneous spaces”, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math.* **26** (2017), no. 1, p. 127–156.
- [3] T. BANICA & B. COLLINS – “Integration over compact quantum groups”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **43** (2007), no. 2, p. 277–302.
- [4] T. BANICA & D. GOSWAMI – “Quantum isometries and noncommutative spheres”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **298** (2010), no. 2, p. 343–356.
- [5] T. BANICA, A. SKALSKI & P. SOLTAN – “Noncommutative homogeneous spaces: the matrix case”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), no. 6, p. 1451–1466.
- [6] T. BANICA & R. SPEICHER – “Liberation of orthogonal lie groups”, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), no. 4, p. 1461–1501.
- [7] H. BERCOVICI & V. PATA – “Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory”, *Ann. Math.* **149** (1999), no. 3, p. 1023–1060.
- [8] F. P. BOCA – “Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on c^* -algebras”, in *Recent advances in operator algebras*, Astérisque, vol. 232, Société Mathématique de France, 1995, p. 93–109.
- [9] B. COLLINS & P. ŚNIADY – “Integration with respect to the haar measure on the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic group”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **264** (2006), no. 3, p. 773–795.
- [10] K. DE COMMER & M. YAMASHITA – “Tannaka-krein duality for compact quantum homogeneous spaces. i. general theory”, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **28** (2013), p. 1099–1138.

- [11] A. FRESLON – “On the partition approach to schur-weyl duality and free quantum groups”, *Transform. Groups* **22** (2017), no. 3, p. 707–751.
- [12] P. KASPRZAK & P. SOLTAN – “Embeddable quantum homogeneous spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **411** (2014), no. 2, p. 574–591.
- [13] P. PODLEŚ – “Symmetries of quantum spaces. subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 1, p. 1–20.
- [14] S. RAUM & M. WEBER – “The full classification of orthogonal easy quantum groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **341** (2016), no. 3, p. 751–779.
- [15] R. SPEICHER & M. WEBER – “Quantum groups with partial commutation relations”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.09192>, 2016.
- [16] P. TARRAGO & M. WEBER – “Unitary easy quantum groups: the free case and the group case”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00195>, 2015.
- [17] S. WANG – “Free products of compact quantum groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995), no. 3, p. 671–692.
- [18] D. WEINGARTEN – “Asymptotic behavior of group integrals in the limit of infinite rank”, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978), p. 999–1001.
- [19] S. L. WORONOWICZ – “Compact matrix pseudogroups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), p. 613–665.
- [20] ———, “Tannaka-krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. twisted $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ groups”, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), no. 1, p. 35–76.

TEODOR BANICA
Department of Mathematics
Cergy-Pontoise University
95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France
teodor.banica@u-cergy.fr