# P.N. NATARAJAN Weighted means in non-archimedean fields

Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, tome 2, nº 1 (1995), p. 191-200 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP\_1995\_2\_1\_91\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AMBP\_1995\_2\_1\_91\_0</a>

© Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 1995, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal » (http: //math.univ-bpclermont.fr/ambp/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, Vol. 2, N° 1, 1995, pp.191-200

# WEIGHTED MEANS IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FIELDS

### P.N. Natarajan

#### §1. INTRODUCTION.

In developing summability methods in non-archimedean fields, Srinivasan [6] defined the analogue of the classical weighted means  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  under the assumption that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of weights satisfies the conditions :

$$|p_0| < |p_1| < |p_2| < \dots < |p_n| < \dots;$$
 (1)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |p_n| = \infty . \tag{2}$$

However, it turned out that these weighted means were equivalent to convergence. In the present paper, an attempt is made to remedy the situation by assuming that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of weights satisfies the conditions :

$$p_n \neq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$
 (3)

and

$$|p_i| \leq |P_j|, \ i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(4)

where  $P_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j} p_k$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ... Note that (3) and (4) imply  $P_n \neq 0$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ...(4) is equivalent to

$$\max_{0 \le i \le j} |p_i| \le |P_j|, \ j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since the valuation is non-archimedean,

$$|P_j| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq j} |p_j|$$
  
so that (4) is equivalent to 
$$|P_j| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq j} |p_j| = |p_j|.$$
(4')

The assumptions (3) and (4) make the method of summability arising out of the weighted means non-trivial in certain cases (Remark 4) and further make it possible to compare two regular weighted means (Theorem 3) or compare a regular weighted mean with a regular matrix method (Theorem 4 and Theorem 5). This helps us to obtain  $(\S 4)$  a strictly increasing scale of regular summability methods in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , the p-adic field for a prime p; analogous to the scale of Cesàro means in  $\mathbb{R}$  (the field of real numbers). These arise out of taking the weights

$$p_n = p^{nk}$$
, if *n* is odd;  
 $= \frac{1}{p^{nk}}$ , if *n* is even

 $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

For a knowledge of  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  methods in the classical case, the reader may refer [2],[5] and for analysis in non-archimedean fields [1].

#### §2. PRELIMINARIES.

Throughout this paper, K denotes a complete, non-trivially valued, non-archimedean field and infinite matrices and sequences have their entries in K. Given an infinite matrix  $A = (a_{nk}), n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and a sequence  $\{x_k\}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , by the A-transform of  $\{x_k\}$ , we mean the sequence  $\{(Ax)_n\}$  where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

it being assumed that the series on the right converge. If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (Ax)_n = s$ , we say that  $\{x_k\}$ is A-summable (or summable by the infinite matrix method A) to s. If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (Ax)_n = s$ whenever  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = s$ , the matrix method A is said to be regular. It is well-known (see [3], [4]) that A is regular if and only if

(a) 
$$\sup_{\substack{n,k \\ n,k}} |a_{nk}| < \infty$$
;  
(b)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;  
(c)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}\right) = 1$ .  
(5)

and

 $n \to \infty \setminus \sum_{k=0}^{n \to \infty} n^{k}$ 

(cf. For criterion for the regularity of a matrix method in the classical case see [2], p.43, Theorem 2). If a regular matrix A is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Ax)_n = s$  implies  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = s$ , the matrix method A is said to be trivial. Given two infinite matrix methods A, B, we say that A is included in B, written as  $A \subset B$ , if any sequence  $\{x_k\}$  that is A-summable to s is also B-summable to s. An infinite matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  is said to be triangular (or, more precisely, lower triangular) if  $a_{nk} = 0$ , k > n, n = 0, 1, 2, ...

**Definition 1.** The  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  method is defined by the infinite matrix  $(a_{nk})$  where

**Remark 1.** If  $\left|\frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n}\right| > 1$ , n = 0, 1, 2... and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |P_n| = \infty$  i.e.  $|P_n|$  strictly increases to infinity, then the method  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is trivial. For  $|p_n| = |P_n - P_{n-1}| = |P_n|$ , since  $|P_n| > |P_{n-1}|$ . So (1) is satisfied. Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |P_n| = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |p_n| = \infty$  so that (2) is satisfied too. Hence  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is trivial because of Theorem 4.2 of [6].

In the sequel we shall suppose that the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of weights satisfies conditions (3) and (4).

An example of such an  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  method corresponds to  $\{p_n\}$  defined by

$$p_n = p^n$$
, if *n* is odd;  
 $= \frac{1}{p^n}$ , if *n* is even,

where  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

**Remark 2.** We note that (4) is equivalent to

$$|P_{n+1}| \ge |P_n|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (7)

**Proof.** Let (4) hold. Now

$$|P_{n+1}| = \max_{0 \le i \le n+1} |p_i|$$
  
= max  $[\max_{0 \le i \le n} |p_i|, |p_{n+1}|]$   
= max  $[|P_n|, |p_{n+1}|]$   
 $\ge |P_n|, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Conversely, let (7) hold. For a fixed integer  $j \ge o$  let  $0 \le i \le j$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |p_i| &=& |P_i - P_{i-1}| \\ &\leq& \max\left[ \; |P_i|,\; |P_{i-1}|\; \right] \\ &\leq& |P_i| \\ &\leq& |P_j| \; , \end{array}$$

by (7).

§3. MAIN RESULTS. Theorem 1.  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is regular if an only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |P_n| = \infty \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Let the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  method be regular Using (6) and (5)(b), we note that (8) holds. Conservely, let (8) hold. In view of (6) and (8) it follows that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Now,  $|a_{nk}| = 0$ , k > n. If  $k \le n$ ,  $|a_{nk}| = \frac{|p_k|}{|P_n|} \le 1$ , in view of (4). Also  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  so that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}\right) = 1$ . Thus, by (5) the method  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is regular.

**Remark 3.** If  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is non-trivial, then (1) cannot be satisfied. Suppose (1) holds, then  $|p_n| = |P_n|$  so that (2) also holds. Thus  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is trivial by Theorem 4.2 of [6], a contradiction. This establishes the claim.

**Remark 4.** There are non-trivial  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  methods. Let  $\alpha \in K$  such that  $0 < c = |\alpha| < 1$ , this being possible since K is non-trivially valued. Let

$$\{p_n\} = \left\{\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha^2}, \alpha^3, \frac{1}{\alpha^4}, \ldots\right\}$$

and

$$\{s_n\} = \left\{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \alpha^2, \frac{1}{\alpha^3}, \alpha^4, \ldots\right\}$$

It is clear that  $\{s_n\}$  does not converge. If  $\{t_n\}$  is the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  transform of  $\{s_k\}$ ,

$$|t_{2k}| = \left| \frac{2k}{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \alpha^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2k}}} \right|$$
  
=  $\frac{|2k|}{(\frac{1}{c^{2k}})}$   
 $\leq c^{2k}$   
 $|t_{2k+1}| = \left| \frac{2k+1}{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \alpha^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha^{2k}} + \alpha^{2k+1}} \right|$   
=  $\frac{|2k+1|}{(\frac{1}{c^{2k}})}$   
 $\leq c^{2k}$ 

so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$ . Thus  $\{s_n\}$ , though non convergent, is summable  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  (in fact, to 0). This establishes our claim.

**Theorem 2.** (Limitation theorem) If  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  to s, then  $|s_n - s| = o\left( \left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| \right), n \to \infty.$ 

**Proof.** If  $\{t_n\}$  is the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  transform of  $\{s_k\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p_n(s_n - s)}{P_n} \right| &= \left| \frac{p_n s_n - p_n s}{P_n} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{P_n t_n - P_{n-1} t_{n-1} - s(P_n - P_{n-1})}{P_n} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{P_n(t_n - s) - P_{n-1}(t_{n-1} - s)}{P_n} \right| \\ &\leq \max \left[ |t_n - s|, \left| \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n} \right| |t_{n-1} - s| \right] \\ &\leq \max \left[ |t_n - s|, |t_{n-1} - s| \right] \end{aligned}$$

since  $\left|\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n}\right| \le 1$ , by (7). Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = s$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left|\frac{p_n(s_n - s)}{P_n}\right| = 0$ . Thus  $|s_n - s| = o\left(\left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right|\right), n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.** (Comparison theorem for two regular weighted means). If  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$ ,  $(\overline{N}, q_n)$  are two regular methods and if

$$\left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right| \le H\left|\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots , \qquad (9)$$

where H > 0 is a constant and  $Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k$ , then  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset (\overline{N}, q_n)$ .

**Proof.** Let, for a given sequence  $\{s_n\}$ ,

$$t_n = \frac{p_0 s_0 + p_1 s_1 + \ldots + p_n s_n}{P_n} ,$$
  
$$u_n = \frac{q_0 s_0 + q_1 s_1 + \ldots + q_n s_n}{Q_n} , n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

Then  $p_0s_0 = P_0t_0$ ,  $p_ns_n = P_nt_n - P_{n-1}t_{n-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  Now,

$$u_n = \frac{1}{Q_n} \left[ \frac{q_0}{p_0} P_0 t_0 + \frac{q_1}{p_1} (P_1 t_1 - P_0 t_0) + \ldots + \frac{q_n}{p_n} (P_n t_n - P_{n-1} t_{n-1}) \right]$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} t_k ,$$

where

$$c_{nk} = \left(\frac{q_k}{p_k} - \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}}\right) \frac{P_k}{Q_n}, \ k < n;$$
$$= \frac{q_k}{p_k} \frac{P_k}{Q_k}, \ k = n;$$
$$= 0, \ k > n$$

= 0, k > n.Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |Q_n| = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} c_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $s_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $t_n = u_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  so that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk}\right) = 1.$ Let k < n.

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{nk}| &= \left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} - \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right| \\ &\leq \max \left[ \left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right|, \left| \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_n} \right| \right] \\ &\leq \max \left[ \left| \frac{q_k}{p_k} \right| \left| \frac{P_k}{Q_k} \right|, \left| \frac{q_{k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{P_{k+1}}{Q_{k+1}} \right| \right] \\ &\leq H , \end{aligned}$$

by (9), since k < n implies  $|Q_k|, |Q_{k+1}| \le |Q_n|$  and so  $\frac{1}{Q_n} \le \frac{1}{Q_k}, \frac{1}{Q_{k+1}}$  and  $|P_k| \le |P_{k+1}|$ . If k = n,  $|c_{nn}| = \left|\frac{q_n}{p_n}\frac{P_n}{Q_n}\right| \le H$  and  $|c_{nk}| = 0 \le H$ , k > n. Consequently  $\sup_{n,k} |a_{nk}| \le H$ . The method  $(c_{nk})$  is thus regular, using (5) and so  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset (\overline{N}, q_n)$ . The proof of the theorem is now complete.

**Remark 5.** Note that the classical counterpart of Theorem 3 (see [2], p.58, Theorem 14) has an additional hypothesis.

**Theorem 4.** (Comparison theorem for a regular  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  method and a regular matrix). Let  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  be a regular method and A be a regular matrix. If

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_{nk} P_k}{p_k} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots ;$$
 (10)

$$\sup_{n,k} \left| \left( \frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \right) P_k \right| < \infty , \qquad (11)$$

and

then  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{s_n\}$  be any sequence,  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{\tau_n\}$  be its  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$ , A transforms respectively so that

$$t_n = \frac{p_0 s_0 + p_1 s_1 + \ldots + p_n s_n}{P_n},$$
  
$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

Now,

$$s_n = \frac{P_n t_n - P_{n-1} s_1 t_{n-1}}{p_n}, P_{-1} = 0$$

Let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = s. \ \tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k$  exists n = 0, 1, 2... and in fact  $\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \Big\{ \frac{P_k t_k - P_{k-1} t_{k-1}}{p_k} \Big\}$  $= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big( \frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} \Big) P_k t_k ,$ 

since  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}} P_k t_k = 0$  by (10) and using the fact that  $\{t_k\}$  is convergent and so bounded and  $\left|\frac{P_k}{P_{k+1}}\right| \leq 1$ . We can now write

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{0} b_{nk} t_k ,$$
$$b_{nk} = \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}}\right) P_k .$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ 

By (11),  $\sup_{n,k} |b_{nk}| < \infty$ . Since A is regular,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, ...$  so that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_{nk} = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ . Let  $s_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$  Then  $t_n = 1, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ . It now follows that  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$  Consequently  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk}\right) = 1$ . The method  $(b_{nk})$  is thus regular and so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = s$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \tau_n = s$ . i.e.  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ .

**Theorem 5.**  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  is a regular method and  $A = (a_{nk})$  is a regular triangular matrix. Then  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$  if and only if (11) holds.

Proof. Let (11) hold. Since A is a triangular matrix, (10) clearly holds. In view of Theorem 4, we have  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ . Conversely, let  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ . Following the notation of Theorem 4, let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = s$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$\tau_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} t_k,$$

where

$$b_{nk} = \left(\frac{a_{nk}}{p_k} - \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{p_{k+1}}\right) P_k \; .$$

Since  $(\overline{N}, p_n) \subset A$ , for every sequence  $\{t_k\}$  with  $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = s$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \tau_n = s$ . This means that  $(b_{nk})$  is a regular matrix and so (11) holds. This complices the proof.

### §4. A SCALE OF STRICTLY INCREASING WEIGHTED MEANS.

We conclude the present paper by obtaining a strictly increasing scale of regular summability methods in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . We define, for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., the method  $(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)})$  by

$$p_n^{(k)} = p^{nk}, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd};$$
$$= \frac{1}{p_{nk}}, \text{ if } n \text{ is even};$$

We now establish that

$$(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)}) \stackrel{\mathsf{C}}{\neq} (\overline{N}, p_n^{(k+1)}).$$
(12)

We apply Theorem 3 to prove this assertion. For convenience, let  $p_n = p_n^{(k)}$  and  $q_n = p_n^{(k+1)}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  If n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right| &= \frac{1}{c^{(n-1)k}} \cdot \frac{1}{c^{nk}} &= \frac{1}{c^{(2n-1)k}} \\ \left|\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right| &= \frac{1}{c^{(n-1)(k+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}} &= \frac{1}{c^{(2n-1)(k+1)}}, \quad c = |p| < 1, \\ \left|\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right| \le \left|\frac{Q_n}{q_n}\right| \end{aligned}$$

-

1

so that

If n is even,

Thus  

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{nk}} \cdot c^{nk} = 1 \\ \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right| &= \frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}} \cdot c^{n(k+1)} = 1 \\ \left| \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right| \le \left| \frac{Q_n}{q_n} \right| \end{aligned}$$

 $|P_n|$ 

198

in this case too. Consequently, by Theorem 3,  $(\overline{N}, p_n^{(k)}) \subset (\overline{N}, p_n^{(k+1)})$ . Let now

$$s_n = 0$$
, if  $n$  is even;  
 $= \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}$ , if  $n$  is odd.

Let  $\{\tau_n\}$  be the  $(\overline{N}, q_n)$  transform of  $\{s_n\}$ . If n is odd,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_n| &= \left| \frac{0 + p^{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0 + p^{n(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}}{1 + p^{k+1} + \frac{1}{p^{2(k+1)}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)(k+1)}} + p^{n(k+1)}} \right| \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{c^{k(n-1)}}}{\frac{1}{c^{(k+1)(n-1)}}} \\ &= c^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

If n is even,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_n| &= \left| 0 + p^{k+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0 \right. \\ &+ \frac{p^{(n-1)(k+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)(k+1)+k(n-2)}} + 0}{1 + p^{k+1} + \frac{1}{p^{2(k+1)}} + \dots + p^{(n-1)-(k+1)} + \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{c^{k(n-2)}}{\frac{1}{c^{n(k+1)}}}} \\ &= c^{n+2k} \end{aligned}$$

In both the cases,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_n = 0$ . Thus  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $(\overline{N}, q_n)$  to 0. Let, now,  $\{t_n\}$  be the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  transform of  $\{s_n\}$ .

If n is odd

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_n| &= \left| \frac{0 + p^k \cdot \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} + 0 + p^{3k} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{3(k+1)+2k}} + \dots + 0 + p^{nk} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{n(k+1)+k(n-1)}}}{1 + p^k + \frac{1}{p^{2k}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{(n-1)k}} + p^{nk}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{c^{n+k(n-1)}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{c^n} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{c} > 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |t_n| = \infty$  that  $\{t_n\}$  cannot converge. Thus  $\{s_n\}$  is not  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  summable and consequently (12) holds.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. BACHMAM, Introduction to p-adic numbers and valuation theory, Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] G.H. HARDY, Divergent Series, Oxford, 1949.
- [3] A.F. MONNA, Sur le théorème de Banach-Steinhauss, Indag. Math. 25(1963), 121-131.
- [4] P.N. NATARAJAN, Criterion for regular matrices in non-archimedean fields J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 6 (1991), 185-195.
- [5] G.M. PETERSEN, Regular matrix transformations, Mc Graw-Hill, London, 1966.
- [6] V.K. SRINIVASAN, On certain summation processes in the p-adic field, Indag. Math. 27 (1965), 368-374.

Department of Mathematics Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda College, Madras - 600 004, INDIA